

Corso di Laurea magistrale (*ordinamento ex D.M. 270/2004*) in Lingue e Letterature Europee, Americane e Postcoloniali

Tesi di Laurea

Ca' Foscari Dorsoduro 3246 30123 Venezia

Hellenism, paganism and aestheticism: Arnoldos influences on Hardyos later novels

Relatore Ch. Prof.ssa Enrica Villari

**Correlatore** Ch. Prof.ssa Emma Sdegno

Laureanda Stefania Grosso Matricola 839952

Anno Accademico 2012 / 2013

### Contents

Introduction	3
Chapter one The Victorian Frame of mind from Carlyle to Hardy	•
1.1 From Carlyle to Hardy	9
1.2 Religious doubts and Science	4
1.3 Culture and Education	9
1.4 Paganism and Aestheticism	4
Chapter two Tess of the DøUrbervilles	••
2.1 Angel as a õsample product of the last five-and-twenty yearsö	1
2.2 Tess: Nature and Paganism	9
Chapter three Jude the Obscure	••
3.1 Jude as the Scholar-Gipsy	0
3.2 Sueøs Hellenism and Judeøs Hebraism	6
3.3 Jude the Obscureøs symbolism and modernity	2
Chapter four The Well-Beloved	••
4.1 Neo-Platonism and Aestheticism	1
4.2 Art and Life	3
Conclusion9	1
Bibliography9	5

## Introduction

The Nineteenth century, the long century as it is now called, was characterized by important changes in society following the Industrial revolution, and, of course, the aftermath of uncountable debates and reform bills. It was a period of õdissolving creeds and clashing theoriesö<sup>1</sup> and, as a matter of fact, in this transformation era great personalities arose from the midst. Among these great Victorian sages, as now many scholars call them<sup>2</sup>, this dissertation will consider one of the most prominent novelist and poet, Thomas Hardy, and the influences exercised by Matthew Arnold, especially on Hardyøs celebrated and controversial later novels, namely *Tess of the DøUrbervilles, Jude the Obscure* and *The Well-Beloved*.

Firstly, the dissertation will explore  $\exists$ the Victorian frame of mindø as Houghton defined it, in the light of some of the themes which both Arnold and Hardy dealt with, i.e. the Religious doubts, Culture and especially Hellenism and Neo-Paganism. Perplexities and anxieties dominated the Victorian era, from fear of the Revolution to the emergence of new religious movements; and all tended to shape a new nation transforming, as Houghton stated, õold dogmas and new ideas into a fresh pattern of thoughtõ<sup>3</sup>. At the height of the English colonial power, essayists and thinkers wrote extensively on the analysis of society and contemporary events. Among these stood out John Henry Newman, a Catholic, the founder of the Oxford movement, the adversary of Thomas Arnold but a great influence on his son Matthew. According to David J. DeLaura, what Matthew Arnold admired in Newman was his style and his õextraordinary openness [í ] to the diversity and unpredictability of human experienceö<sup>4</sup>. Moreover Newmanøs teachings influenced Arnoldøs views, as far as the existence of a privileged *élite*, the interplay between moral and intellectual faculties and the function of culture are concerned.

Another great writer and thinker of the end of the century was Walter Pater, more of a humanist than Newman, but strongly influenced both by the Cardinal and by Arnold. His major work was *The Renaissance*, and his conception of art and his veneration for the Greek artists certainly impressed Thomas Hardy, who undoubtedly referred to Pater in his last novel *The Well-Beloved*. In Paterøs view the work of Art had to be a source of pure pleasure, an emanation of the Beautiful dissociated from morality. Art became a form of reaction to the industrial Victorian world and to the

<sup>3</sup> W.E. Houghton, *op cit.*, p. 20.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> W. E. Houghton, *The Victorian Frame of Mind*, 1830-1870, New Haven, Yale University Press, 1957, p. 20.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Cf. J. Holloway, *The Victorian Sage: Studies in Argument*, (1953) Hamden, Archon Books, 1962.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> D. J. DeLaura, *Hebrew and Hellene in Victorian England: Newman, Arnold, and Pater*, Austin, University of Texas Press, 1969, p. xii.

hypocrisy of the bourgeoisie, and new features and purposes were assigned to it, art being, as Pater wrote,  $\tilde{o}not$  the fruit of experience, but experience itself is the endö<sup>5</sup>.

To investigate the values which gave shape to the Victorian frame of mind a few influential essayist and intellectuals will be discussed in the first chapter. Firstly, this dissertation will deal with the great man of letters who influenced the thought of the epoch, Thomas Carlyle, discussing particularly his essay, *The Signs of the Times*, which was one of the first essays on modern culture. In addition, also the works by John Stuart Mill, especially *On Liberty*, and the works by Thomas Henry Huxley, *Science and Culture* and *Evolution and Ethics* will be analysed.

They deeply influenced Hardy and along with Arnoldøs writings, are useful to understand why Angel in *Tess of the DøUrbervilles* is defined as a õsample product of the last five-and-twenty yearsö<sup>6</sup> and why his sin is, according to DeLaura, his õimperfect modernismö<sup>7</sup>.

Throughout three chapters this work will discuss the last novels of Thomas Hardy, focusing on their relation with Matthew Arnold and his major works, *Culture and Anarchy*, his critical essays *Pagan and Mediaeval Religious Sentiment, Wordsworth, The Study of Poetry* and *On the Modern Element in Literature*, and his long poem, *The Scholar-Gypsy*.

Notwithstanding polemical debates and unfavourable critiques, Arnoldøs innovations in the cultural frame of mind and his classification of society as delineated in *Culture and Anarchy*, i.e. Barbarians, Philistines and Populace, impressively affected Victorian intellectuals for the accurate description of the lack of ideals in modern society and of a vile dominant class dedicated only to luxury and leisure.

However, it is impossible to forget that Arnold was also the author of celebrated poems with which actually started his career as a writer. In his poetry Arnold reflected on faith, religion and the influence of classical art and he also meditated on the limits of contemporary standard culture, as in *The Scholar-Gypsy*, in which the protagonist seeks for an alternative knowledge, outside the academics walls of Oxford and Cambridge.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> W. Pater, *The Renaissance, Studies in Art and Poetry*, (1873), Oxford, Oxford University Press, 2010, p. 119.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> T. Hardy, *Tess of the DøUrbervilles*, (1891), ed. S. Gatrell, Oxford, Oxford University Press, 2005, ch. XXXIX p. 284. <sup>7</sup> D. J. DeLaura, *The Ache of Modernism in Hardyøs Later Novels*, ELH, vol. 34, No. 3 (Sep., 1967), pp. 380-399, p. 382.

According to DeLaura, Arnoldøs work, along with Paterøs, concerned the õadaptation of the traditional religious culture to the needs of the later nineteenth centuryö<sup>8</sup>.

Instead, the one who could not adapt the traditional religious beliefs to the modern world and stood against the demands of modern society was Thomas Hardy, to whom the last three chapter of this dissertation are dedicated.

Chapter two will focus on *Tess of the døUrbervilles*, which it is not only a great novel but also a complex response to Arnoldøs views, with the character of Angel who seems a representation of a younger and more modern Matthew Arnold.

The novel, published in 1891, received mixed responses both from critics and from the reading public. Scandalous for the Victorian society, his famous heroine is the centre of a tragedy in which the faults of the past return and hunt her. Innocent for the author but guilty for the majority of readers, Tess is the victim of a dominant male culture and of a world which no longer understands a pure creature of nature. Hardy with this novel attacked the stereotyped Victorian culture and its ambiguous morality. However, the vitality of Tess and the energy of nature which she represents are doomed to disappear and die.

The following chapter will deal with the other great and controversial novel by Hardy, *Jude the Obscure*. Published in 1895 and possibly more tragic than *Tess*, the novel tells the story of a self-taught man, who might remind the readers of Arnoldøs *Scholar-gypsy*, and his desire of knowledge and learning. But the Victorian world was not ready to accept either Jude or Sue, his beloved cousin and independent woman, because they are over-developed and unfit for society. As with the previous novel, also *Jude* received negative responses, for its position about marriage, woman, and culture. Especially for his characterization of Sue Bridehead, undoubtedly a more free and modern woman than Tess, and her relationship with men, both Jude and Mr. Phillotson. Growing outside the traditional religious code, Jude and Sue are firstly excluded from a rigid society and then, when the tragedy falls on them, they are alienated from each other.

Furthermore, a biblical theme is shared by both protagonists. Not only does Jude continually quotes verses from the Bible, but in the end he faces death quoting the Book of Job: õLet the day perish wherein I was bornö<sup>9</sup>, a line which conveys all his

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> D. J. DeLaura, *Hebrew and Hellene in Victorian England: Newman, Arnold, and Pater*, cit., p. ix.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup> T. Hardy, Jude the Obscure (1895), ed. D. Taylor, London, Penguin, 1998, part VI, ch. 11, p. 403.

sense of tragedy in life. The freethinker Sue, instead, õinternalizes religious selfpunishmentö<sup>10</sup> after the death of her children, blaming herself for this dreadful event. *Jude the Obscure* is also a novel about culture and art, on what Taylor called an õunguided self-educationö<sup>11</sup> and on the unrest of the modern mind which characterizes Jude, who is trapped between a desire of an elevation through education and the impossibility to reach his dream. Moreover, for Jude, hope and goodness are always elsewhere, compelling him to an infinite quest and wandering.

Although Jude seems to exhibit some characteristics of his author, for example, as Taylor underlined, õhis love of music, his romantic longing for the well-beloved, his desire to rise in the world, his responsiveness to sufferingö<sup>12</sup> Hardy, after the first chapters dedicated to the life of a young man full of hope, evolved other themes, namely marriage, religion and sexuality, leaving the artistic and cultural theme for the work which will be discussed in the fourth chapter of this dissertation, the last novel published by Hardy, *The Well-Beloved*.

Previously serialized in the *Illustrated London News* with the title *The Pursuit of the Well-Beloved*, it was published in 1897 as a book and marked the end of Hardyøs career as a novelist.

Whereas Tess and Jude are tragedies and deal with the exclusion from society, religion and the weights of the past, *The Well-Beloved* is a more conscious novel on the artistic process and art, and it deals with the aestheticism, Neo-Platonism and the revival of the classical-Hellenic art which were part of the late nineteenth century Neo-paganism.

As it is frequently seen in his novels, Hardy, through his male characters, dealt with menøs idealisation of women. More than Tess and Sue, the three Avices and also Miss Bencomb, are too much idealised and elevated at the rank of goddesses by the protagonist, Jocelyn Pierston. They become something unreachable, exiled from the everyday reality by the man who worships them. Jocelyn Pearston transforms his beloved women in an emanation of the Absolute or Ideal form of Beauty, an abstract entity which renders him incapable of a steady relationship or feelings.

Clearly *The Well-Beloved* is Hardyøs most experimental novel, starting from its tripartite structure, with every section narrating a precise age in Jocelynøs life, but also for the *leitmotiv* of the novel: the description of a powerful desire, an account of

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>10</sup> D. Taylor, *Introduction* to T. Hardy, *Jude the Obscure* cit., pp. xvi-xxxiii, p. xx.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>11</sup> *Ibidem*, p. xxiii.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>12</sup> Ivi.

the pursuit of perfection in beauty and love which seems to haunt the protagonist, who has to deal with his sensual impulses throughout his whole life. But, as Jane Thomas wrote, *The Well-Beloved* is also a õretrospective glance at Hardyøs own artistic careerö<sup>13</sup>, and at his major influences.

Though this is a novel deeply connected with Pater and the Pre-Raphaelites, it is also possible to see it as, again, a response to Arnold and his theories on art, Neo-Platonism and, of course, Hellenism.

Albeit Hardy considered Arnold compromised in the seventies for his new theological position, since, as Carroll explained, õin the second phase of his career Arnold occupies himself almost exclusively with reinterpreting the Bible and traditional religion in such a way as to preserve their moral and literary contentö<sup>14</sup>, yet he absorbed his notions and views, especially because Arnold was the first in England to write about what later Hardy defined as the ;Ache of Modernismø As DeLaura wrote, õArnold had defined the emotional price of modernism: the sense of psychic dislocation and alienation, of wandering in an unmapped no manøs land ;between two worldsøö.<sup>15</sup>

If, on the one hand Arnold was too conservative for the almost modernist Hardy, on the other hand Arnold was too compromising, whereas Hardy permeated his novels with a strong element of radicalism, which he opposed to the modernity which was changing the world.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>13</sup> J. Thomas, *Introduction* to *The Well-Beloved*, (1897), J. Thomas ed., London, Wordsworth Classics, 2000, pp. ixxxvii, p. xi.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>14</sup> J. Carroll, *The Cultural Theory of Matthew Arnold*, (1982), Berkley, University California Press, 1982, p. 39.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>15</sup> D. J. DeLaura, *The Ache of Modernism in Hardyøs Later Novels* cit. p. 380-1.

## **Chapter one**

# The Victorian frame of mind from Carlyle to Hardy

#### 1.1 From Carlyle to Hardy

During Victoriaøs reign, who ascended the throne in 1837, Britain was developing fast, new cities were founded, the economy faced the explosion of capitalism and industrialization and the middle class arose satisfied and proud. Undoubtedly it was the most powerful nation in the world, whose power increased enormously in comparison with the previous century. As Thackeray wittily wrote: õlt was only yesterday; but what a gulf between now and then!ö<sup>16</sup>.

The signals of change, and also the symptoms of crisis, were already present at the end of the Eighteenth and the beginning of the Nineteenth century; on the one hand the Industrial Revolution had given power to business and bourgeoisie, and to the theorization of Utilitarianism by Jeremy Bentham:

By the principle of utility is meant that principle which approves or disapproves of every action whatsoever according to the tendency it appears to have to augment or diminish the happiness of the party whose interest is in question: or, what is the same thing in other words to promote or to oppose that happiness. I say of every action whatsoever, and therefore not only of every action of a private individual, but of every measure of government<sup>17</sup>.

On the other hand, Romanticism had exalted heroism, passions and Nature. It rejected the codes and precepts of Classicism, it reacted against the Enlightenment, it cultivated the religion of Nature and Imagination, mythicizing in verses the ancient past, the heroic deeds and the outsiders who became protagonists.

But Romanticism was also a thoroughly new aesthetic experience and it implied the appreciation of the sublime, creating the new sensibility which is found in the works of its major poets.

However, from 1830 onwards, the revolutionary tendencies of Romanticism faded away. Its passion was substituted with domestic feelings, the hero was superseded by the rigorous and rigid man, and a new puritan façade was erected as a kind of protection of an ambiguous and ambivalent society.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>16</sup> W. M. Thackeray quoted in W. E. Houghton, *op.cit.*, p. 3.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>17</sup> J. Bentham, An Introduction to the Principles of Morals and Legislation (1789), J. H. Burns and H. L. A. Hart eds., Oxford, Clarendon Press, 1996, p. 12.

With the First Reform Bill (1831), the era of bourgeoisie and industrialization, of the poor working class and of the trade unions started; and due to the profound changes of the society a great number of works were written on cultural and social questions because, as Arnold, with profound awareness stated, õtraditional beliefs and institutions are no longer adequate to embody contemporary lifeö<sup>18</sup>.

First of all, at the beginning of the century, Thomas Carlyle in a prophet-like style started to write great essays on the conditions of society, on the -Condition-of-England question, analyzing the effects of industrialization and -mechanization, a word repeated more than seventy times in *Signs of the Times*, published in 1829 in *The Edinburgh Review*. This essay, doomed to become a controversial but influential work, attacked the principles of Benthamite Utilitarianism showing the contradictions of its model.

Carlyle labeled his time õthe Age of Machineryö<sup>19</sup> prophesying, with a pessimist outlook, a degeneration of society but also appealing to õthe thinking minds of all nationsö which, according to him, were called for a change<sup>20</sup>. He strongly felt the need of reforming the world and his nation, concluding his essay with the statement of the urgency of reforming also man before mechanization should destroy the individuality of everyone, a danger that Carlyle felt impending because õmen are grown mechanical in head and in heart, as well as in hand. They have lost faith in individual endeavour, and in natural force, of any kindö<sup>21</sup>.

Carlyle permeated his works with a strong imagery, a constant appeal to what appeared to him dogmas and, often, he wrote in an apocalyptic or visionary style. Undoubtedly he was able to recognize that his age was facing a crisis, namely the danger derived from an excessive use of machinery and industrialization.

Moreover, another feature of his style was his worshipping of force, of the -heroø He was one of the most polemical thinkers of the times, in fact, according to Houghton, he stressed the õconception of Force as the worldøs soul and animating principleö<sup>22</sup> but, as many of his contemporaries, he was not alien to õreligious doubtsö and he was also õaware of weakness and frustrationö<sup>23</sup>.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>18</sup> M. Arnold quoted in W.E. Houghton, *op.cit.*, p. 17.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>19</sup> T. Carlyle, Signs of the Times (1829) in Scottish and Other Miscellanies, London, Dent, 1964, pp. 56-82, p. 59.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>20</sup> Cf. *Ibidem*, p. 82.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>21</sup> *Ibidem*, p. 63.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>22</sup> W. E. Houghton, *op.cit.* p. 206-7.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>23</sup> *Ibidem*, p. 216.

Carlyle was the first to give words to the anxiety of the time and he was recognized by all the Victorian intellectuals as an authority. His tone and temper will resonate in many successful works of the nineteenth century, as for example in Matthew Arnoldøs essays.

Arnoldøs attitude towards Carlyle, as DeLaura wrote, was:

a persistent ambivalence, one half of it a remarkable bulk of conscious and half-conscious borrowing of ideas and key expressions, the other half a seemingly fixed need to depreciate Carlyle, combined with something very close to concealment of his influence.<sup>24</sup>

This attitude derives probably from the almost fanatic vein of some Carlylean statements and motifs. The once admired Carlyle of the young Arnold is treated with respect in *Culture and Anarchy*, making him and his genius a symbolic stand for what he meant by Hebraism. Furthermore words like õphilistinesö and õmachineö in a critical context õare all Carlylean borrowingsö<sup>25</sup>. Moreover Carlyle focused on the spiritual price of social change and social progress, a theme that runs through all the Victorian period, from Arnold to Hardy. But Arnold contrasted Carlyleøs conservatory view of aristocracy, calling the English aristocrats õBarbariansö in *Culture and Anarchy*, where he described their lack of light, the essential quality for the perfection of man.

As DeLaura claimed, Arnold condemned also Carlyleøs õtemper of mindö<sup>26</sup> favouring a more comprehensive public tone and impersonal criticism. As Arnold wrote: õthe great thing is to speak without a particle of vice, malice, or rancorö<sup>27</sup>.

But the sermon-like essays of Carlyle influenced many Victorians. Everyone who wrote against Machinery and Utilitarianism had clear in their minds Carlyleøs writings. Carlyle also gave great importance to art and literature, venturing to say that Art and Science are free gift of nature and stressing the fact that õthey originated in the Dynamical nature of man, not in his Mechanical natureö<sup>28</sup>.

Later, even Hardy shared the Carlylean sense of the dangerous nature of an unbalanced progress. But at the same time, Hardy was very distant from Carlyleøs

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>24</sup> D. J. DeLaura, Arnold and Carlyle, õPMLAö, Vol. 79, No. 1, March 1964, pp. 104-129, p. 104.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>25</sup> *Ibidem*, p. 107.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>26</sup> *Ibidem*, p. 129.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>27</sup> M. Arnold, Letter of May 1863, quoted in D. J. DeLaura, Arnold and Carlyle cit, p. 106.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>28</sup> T. Carlyle, *Signs of the Times* cit, p. 70.

worshipping of heroes; Carlyle worshipped the cult of heroes, and this became almost an obsession, exhaustively explained in his essay *Heroes and Hero Worship* (1840). For Hardy instead, as J. Holloway underlines, õthe heroic deed is impossibleö<sup>29</sup>, and, as it is seen in his tragic novels, his heroes try to survive rather than perform a real heroic deed.

Besides, their conception of nature was very different. For Carlyle, and Arnold as well, nature was to be mastered by men, i.e. both workers and owners together<sup>30</sup>, instead Hardy was more close to the evolutionary and scientific theories of Thomas Henry Huxley, sharing with the scientists the idea that nature is *un*moral and that the natural world is indifferent to human feelings and values<sup>31</sup>.

Yet Carlyle certainly made a strong impression with his writings on the new and young philosophers and scientists like Huxley, so much admired by Hardy, giving them, as Irvine stated, õnot ideas but temperamentö<sup>32</sup>.

Thomas Carlyle was the first essayist who deeply analyzed and understood the new contemporary society surrounding him. He left an important contribution to the British cultural *élite*, in spite of the harshness of his last essays where his political positions became more radical and led him to break with old friends and allies.

Furthermore, according to Turner, Carlyle õintroduced German romanticism and idealism to the British reading public through translations, [and] interpretive essaysö<sup>33</sup>, starting the myth of Goethe and of the Greek revival in Britain, a cultural tendency which lasted until the end of the century.

Yet his works influenced also the social and historical aspects of Dickensø novels: Signs of the Times influenced Hard Times and The French Revolution influenced A Tale of Two Cities; moreover he inspired the social reforms of Ruskin and Morris, and he was also a critic of John Stuart Mill and his positions on Liberty and Democracy. He wrote against a õsham priesthoodö (Latter-Day Pamphlets, 18), invoking for an õindustrious, honest, and courageous teaching class that would

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>29</sup> J. Holloway, *op.cit.*, p. 281.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>30</sup> Cf. *Ibidem*, p. 208.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>31</sup> Cf. R. Schweik, *The Influence of Religion, Science, and Philosophy in Hardyøs Writings* in D. Kramer, ed., *The Cambridge Companion to Thomas Hardy*, Cambridge, Cambridge University Press, 1999, pp. 54-71 p. 62.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>32</sup> W. Irvine, *Carlyle and T. H. Huxley*, in H. Shine, ed., *Booker Memorial Studies*, Chapel Hill, University of North Carolina Press, 1950, pp. 104-121, p. 121.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>33</sup> F.M. Turner, *Victorian Scientific Naturalism and Thomas Carlyle*, õVictorian Studiesö, Vol. 18, No. 3, March 1975, pp. 325-343, p. 328.

educate and direct [i] society $\ddot{o}^{34}$  and which would reinforce an already existent predicament of religious institutions.

Carlyle also contributed to intensify an already existing religious crisis which worsened after the publication of *The Origin of Species* (1859), the milestone text which challenged the Bible-rooted beliefs of Victorian society.

Moreover the Church was also threatened by the Neoclassicism and the Pagan Revival, which, as Louis underlined, õrose with startling energy in Victorian Englandö<sup>35</sup>.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>34</sup> *Ibidem*, p. 333.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>35</sup> M. K. Louis, *Gods and Mysteries: The Revival of Paganism and the Remaking of Mythography through the Nineteenth Century*, õVictorian Studiesö, Vol. 47, No. 3, Spring 2005, pp. 329-361, p. 338.

#### 1.2 Religious doubts and Science

In Victorian England a lot of people, of all social classes, felt a strong discomfort about religion. The Anglican Church had started to lose power already in the previous century due to the fragmentation of the Church which formed the dissenter movements, i.e. Evangelicals, Methodists, and so on. Certainly, this unsettled situation influenced the unsteadiness of Anglicanism and the negative response of people towards the clergy. The religious anxieties had already found expression in Puritanism, which õlaid great stress both on hard work and on moral disciplineö<sup>36</sup>, as Houghton argued, and condemned the life of pleasures, art and philosophy included.

As a consequence of the declining power of the Anglican Church many Victorians, as Houghton stated, õcried out for a new faith that would end their distress of mindö<sup>37</sup>. The effect of all this uncertainty and of the sense of isolation that pervaded everyone, led to turning to Agnosticism or to Theism.

Theism was adopted by many intellectuals who substituted religion with a õbelief in a personal God and a divine moral lawö<sup>38</sup>. Similarly, Matthew Arnold tried to elevate Poetry to the rank of religion even if he did not find an ultimate solution to the depression of modern times.

During the sixties Arnold indeed faced a profound religious crisis being, as DeLaura maintained, unsatisfied with his õrather joyless stoicism that he had developed as an alternative to Christianity during the preceding two decadesö<sup>39</sup> and he spent õthe second phase of his career [í] almost exclusively with reinterpreting the Bible and traditional religion in such a way as to preserve their moral and literary content while discarding their supernatural dogmasö<sup>40</sup>. It was in this phase of his intellectual career that Arnold got close to John Henry Newman.

In one of the letters Arnold wrote to Newman, he declared that the Cardinal was one of the four people from whom he received a strong impression<sup>41</sup>. Although John Henry Newman was a rival of Matthew Arnoldøs father, he left a mark with his

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>36</sup> W. E. Houghton, *op. cit.*, p. 126.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>37</sup> *Ibidem*, p. 97.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>38</sup> *Ibidem*, p. 48

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>39</sup> D. J. DeLaura, *Hebrew and Hellene in Victorian England: Newman, Arnold, and Pater* cit., p. 19.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>40</sup> J. Carroll, *The Cultural Theory of Matthew Arnold* cit., p. 39.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>41</sup> Cf. D. J. DeLaura, Arnold and Carlyle cit, p. 104.

sermons on the young Matthew during his years in Oxford, even if at the beginning Arnold attended them only for õthe sake of their rhetorical charmö<sup>42</sup>. According to Ker, beautiful is Arnoldøs

romantic evocation of the  $\div$ charm of that spiritual apparition [Newman], gliding in the dim afternoon light through the aisles of St. Maryøs, rising into the pulpit, and then, in the most entrancing of voices, breaking the silence with words and thoughts which were a religious music, - subtle, sweet, mournfulø<sup>43</sup>

As far as religion is concerned, John Henry Newman professed a true and humble spirituality. He grew up as a Calvinist, lately he approached the Anglican Church and then, in 1845, he converted to the Roman Catholic Church, finding it more similar to the Church of the Fathers. He was disquieted about the Anglican Church, stating that it õhas apparently undergone so many changes and variations over the centuries that the question arises whether there has been any -real continuity of doctrineø since the time of the Apostlesö<sup>44</sup>.

Interestingly, Newman, after his conversion to Catholicism, refused more than once the definition of theologian. He was always more interested in facts than the doctrine of faith. According to Newman, faith is a matter of absolute belief in God which can be obtained with a long and hard work. His sermons were severe even before his conversion, but his Catholic discourses became more radical, because, as Ker stated, õin order to highlight the bright side of Christianity, it was essential, Newman thought, to see the dark sideö<sup>45</sup>.

Moreover Newman was inflexible with his adversaries, as the Evangelicals, or the Liberals. In the *Apologia Pro Vita Sua* (1864), Newman wrote:

Liberalism then is the mistake of subjecting to human judgment those revealed doctrines which are in their nature beyond and independent of it, and of claiming to determine on intrinsic grounds the truth and value of propositions

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>42</sup> J. Carroll, *Arnold, Newman, and Cultural Salvation*, õVictorian Poetryö, Vol. 26, No. 1/2, (Centennial of Matthew Arnold: 1822-1888), Spring - Summer 1988, pp. 163-178 p. 164.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>43</sup> I. Ker, *The Achievement of John Henry Newman*, London, Collins, 1990, p. 75.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>44</sup> J.H. Newman, An Essay on the Development of Christian Doctrine, quoted in I. Ker, op. cit, p.110.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>45</sup> I. Ker, *op. cit.*, p. 87.

which rest for their reception simply on the external authority of the Divine Word.<sup>46</sup>

Newmanøs religious writings concerned self-denial, another way to reach perfection, but mainly focused on the danger of the false profession of religion which he saw as a sin.

For him, real religion is linked to practice, to factual acts. He advocated for not giving too much attention to theory and dogmatism, for self-examination and self-denial. His Christ is a true human being; he described Him without fear and trembling, in a decided and admiring tone. Love and obedience to God are in the little things people do every day.

His sermons were really influential and powerful. Many intellectuals, who attended Oxford, recalled them and their extraordinary force and shocking effect. Even Hardy, before his rejection of Christianity, looked at the Sermons of the Cardinal, hoping to find there an answer to his doubts.

As previously argued the Christian domain and authority fell apart with the new scientific discoveries starting to question the position of man in relation to God. The Evolutionary theory of Charles Darwin intensified the debate between science and religion which had begun in the previous years with the scientific discoveries of geology, mineralogy and also history. Science did not exclude the existence of the divine hence science cannot answer questions of the ultimate origins and of the final aim of humankind. But science, through observations and data, argued that God is not the starting point of the universe, and that the Bible is not reliable as a world-history book.

During the whole nineteenth century there was an honest attempt to combine the two spheres of religion and science, as the *Bridgewater Treatise* (1833 ó 1840) demonstrates. But when *The Descent of Man* and *The Origin of Species* were published, the whole religious and cultural scene was shocked by Darwinøs statements and discoveries.

For most of the people it was hard to accept the idea of man descending from apes and of the violence of the struggle for survival. It was not only a religious problem but also an ethical controversy. Nature is indifferent to human problems, more than

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>46</sup> J. H. Newman, *Apologia Pro Vita Sua* (1864), ed. I. Ker, London, Penguin, 1994, p. 254.

often the one fit to survive is not the best of men in terms of values, but in the natural world these are not problems.

One of the champions of science deeply involved with Darwinism was Thomas Henry Huxley who wrote controversial essays on the relationship between science and ethics and also dealt with the problem of evil. According to Antonello La Vergata, Huxley was: õil portavoce della nuova classe sacerdotale, colui che aveva difeso la scienza come portatrice di valori morali e garante di un più giusto ordine socialeö<sup>47</sup>.

And of course he had to face: õil problema del male, soprattutto in un'epoca di tensioni sociali e di insicurezze crescentiö<sup>48</sup>.

For Huxley there was no compromise between evolution and justice. Nature, for him, was not good or bad, simply it was *un*moral, a thought which deeply influenced Hardy. Moreover, Huxley distinguished a *:*State of Natureø, primeaval, the perfect scenery for the struggle, from a *:*State of Artø, artificial, human and sorrowful. As La Vergata wrote in his comment on *Evolution and Ethics*:

Il raffinamento della sensibilità, løapertura di nuovi campi døazione delløintelletto che hanno caratterizzato il progresso sociale e culturale, sono stati inevitabilmente accompagnati da -una crescita proporzionale della capacità di soffrireø<sup>49</sup>

Huxley recognized the importance of sympathy between humans and of the moral values society elaborates for humankind. But he simply described the processes in the natural world, his -cosmic processø, whose most pervading characteristic was

the struggle for existence, the competition of each with all, the result of which is the selection, that is to say, the survival of those forms which, on the whole, are best adapted, to the conditions which at any period obtain; and which are, therefore, in that respect, and only in that respect, the fittest<sup>50</sup>.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>47</sup> A. La Vergata, *Introduzione*, in T.H. Huxley, *Evoluzione ed Etica*, ed. A. La Vergata, Torino, Bollati Boringheri, 1995, pp. ix - lxiii, p. x.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>48</sup> Ivi.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>49</sup> A. La Vergata, *op. cit.*, p. xi.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>50</sup> T.H. Huxley, *Prolegomena* in *Evolution and Ethics and Other Essays*, Princeton, Princeton University Press, 2009, pp. 1-43, p. 4.

Again, this statement echoed in Hardyøs tragic novels. His most famous protagonists, Tess and Jude, are not fit to survive in the modern world. Their failure in the struggle for existence, the shame and the wrong judgment passed on them by society lead them to surrender.

Moreover, the ancient theme of the sins of the fathers falling upon the children which recurs in Hardyøs tragic novels, significantly was already present in Huxleyøs *Evolution and Ethics*. Here, Huxley described a dark and cruel natural world, which coincides quite perfectly with Hardyøs vision:

the violator of ethical rules constantly escapes the punishment which he deserves; that the wicked flourishes like a green bay tree, while, the righteous begs his bread; that the sins of the fathers are visited upon the children; that, in the realm of nature, ignorance is punished just as severely as willful wrong; and that thousands upon thousands of innocent beings suffer for the crime, or the unintentional trespass of one.<sup>51</sup>

Only the conscience of man can stand against õthe moral indifference of natureö<sup>52</sup> and its high values can save humanity. Huxley spoke of the ancient religions and cultures as the ones which tried to reconcile nature and the distribution of good and evil with humanity. Huxley excluded Christianity from his discourse, especially the Roman Church, which he held responsible, as Cyril claimed, õfor the destruction of all that is highest in the moral nature, in the intellectual freedom, and in the political freedom of mankindö<sup>53</sup>. Moreover, as an agnostic, Huxley promoted the reading of the Bible, but only an edited version of it, purged of the statements which science had proved to be false. Hardy certainly admired this attitude, because, as he wrote in a letter, religion is õa transient and ineffectual creed based on dubious legends no longer believedö<sup>54</sup>.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>51</sup> T.H. Huxley, *Evolution and Ethics*, in *Evolution and Ethics and Other Essays* cit., p. 47.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>52</sup> *Ibidem*, p. 50.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>53</sup> B. Cyril, T.H. Huxley: scientist, humanist and educator, London, Watts, 1959, p. 157.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>54</sup> T. Hardy quoted in R. Schweik, op. cit., p. 57.

#### 1.3 Culture and Education

The increase in population and the improvement of literacy contributed to the enforcement of the idea of culture and, naturally, originated debates about the role of culture in society.

Moreover, the social progress led also to a development of schools for the lower classes in order to increase the literacy level of working class children. After the educational reforms, new schools and institutes were founded for lower class boys and girls, but obviously they never had the same opportunities of the high class children, as for example attending Universities.

In addition, during the XIX<sup>th</sup> century the new scientific discoveries started to be popularized in cheap publications made on purpose for the working class. A *Society for the Diffusion of Useful Knowledge* was also founded which published texts promoting the knowledge among the lower classes.

Notwithstanding this enormous progress in education, the government perceived the danger of a too much literate working class. Stamp taxes were introduced on what was considered dangerous literatureø and in this way higher classes controlled what books were published and to what books the working class had access. But, in 1855, as a consequence of an ever-growing popularity of novels and essays, the stamp taxes were abolished.

Regarding instead the middle class, the bourgeoisieøs success in business and markets commenced also the popularization of culture. The middle class felt a strong need to learn and consequently the number of encyclopedias and essays published every year increased rapidly. Moreover, famous booksellers as Murray, Blackwood and Macmillan became entrepreneurs, who bought reviews and writings, becoming business men enjoying an influent presence in the market.

In addition, a great number of periodicals and newspapers, which contained fiction, opinions, reviews and criticism, started to be published. The major periodicals were the õEdinburgh Reviewö (founded in 1802), which hosted also Carlyleøs essays, and the õQuarterly Reviewö (founded in 1809). Starting from the thirties also working class and womenøs magazines were established. Finally, the popularity of fiction encouraged many writers to try to write a novel, but also created the circulating libraries as Mudieøs or W.H.Smith, though which people could borrow books at a very cheap annual subscription.

All these changes led to a modification of the meaning of culture. As Williams argued, from a õgeneral state or habit of the mindö or, simply, the õculture of somethingö, during the nineteenth century õit came to mean a whole way of life, material, intellectual, and spiritualö<sup>55</sup>. Obviously, this alteration of the meaning of the word brought also to intellectual debates and to questioning the position of culture in Victorian society. For many artists and writers, as Williams underlined, õculture became the normal antithesis to the marketö<sup>56</sup>.

For others, as Matthew Arnold, culture was something higher: what might lead to the perfection of man. In a period of revolution and changes Arnold saw the danger of instability and proposed Culture as a remedy:

being a pursuit of our total perfection by means of getting to know, on all the matters which most concern us, the best which has been thought and said in the world, and, through this knowledge, turning a stream of fresh and free thought upon our stock notions and habits, which we now follow staunchly but mechanically.<sup>57</sup>

Arnold borrowed from Carlyle the concept of following notions mechanically, but he developed, in *Culture and Anarchy* more than in any other essay a concept of culture which was already discussed by Coleridge and Cardinal Newman.

In fact, John Henry Newman wrote extensively about education and on his idea of how a University had to be. He wrote also on culture and on the faculty of intellect, distinguishing it from morality and faith. For Newman, the perfection of intellect was õthe end of University Educationö and he defined it as õthe clear, calm, accurate vision and comprehension of all things, as far as the finite mind can embrace themö<sup>58</sup>.

In the definition of Culture by Arnold it is possible to find echoes of Newman, even if for Arnold, Culture is  $\div$ a pursuit of our total perfectionø, whereas for Newman is more a  $\div$ stateø Certainly culture was an essential condition for the expansion of the mind, but for Newman it did not imply a continuous movement towards perfection.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>55</sup> R. Williams, *Culture and Society 1780-1950* (1958), London, Penguin, 1971, p. xvii.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>56</sup> *Ibidem*, p. 53.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>57</sup> M. Arnold, *Culture and Anarchy*, (1869), Oxford, Oxford University Press, 2009, p. 5.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>58</sup> J.H. Newman, *The Idea of a University*, quoted in I. Ker, *op. cit.*, p. 11.

However, the concepts of light and connexion, so important in Arnoldøs discourse on Culture were already present in Newmanøs *Idea of University*, probably the most influential work for Matthew Arnoldøs development of Newmanøs theories.

But Matthew Arnold who shared with Newman the feeling of impatience and irritation towards the modern world, proposed in the third phase of his career, a definition of culture which comprehends the force and qualities of Hellenism, i.e. õthe spontaneity of consciousnessö<sup>59</sup> and the idea that Hellenism permits õto see things as they really areö<sup>60</sup> considering it superior to Hebraism and Christianity. While Newman, even if open to humanist and Hellenic influences, never considered Hellenism as the perfect solution for the disease of the modern world.

Furthermore, Arnold elevated poetry to the rank of a religion, because poetry, united with culture in a whole spirit, has the qualities necessary to the perfection of man, i.e., beauty, sweetness and light.

Culture, and especially poetry, are for Arnold õthe general harmonious expansion of those gifts of thought and feeling which make the peculiar dignity, wealth and happiness of human nature. $\ddot{o}^{61}$  This kind of description returns frequently in *Culture and Anarchy* and in other essays, and Arnold used words like harmony and happiness also to oppose Hellenism and the life in Ancient Greece to Hebraism, where sense of obedience and strictness of conduct led to the awakening of the sense of sin and self-sacrifice<sup>62</sup>.

The distinction between Hellenism and Hebraism influenced also Hardy, who portrayed the pursuit of perfection and the struggle between the two opposing forces in Angel, his most -intellectualøand -Hellenicøcharacter.

Hardy was also well aware that not all people could learn and receive a high education as Angel, or as Arnold had received in real life. His most famous characters, Tess and Jude, even if they received a better education than their parents, were excluded from University, because Tess is a woman and Jude is poor. Even Hardy did not attend university and he can be compared to his most tragic character, Jude, the self-taught man who craves for knowledge and learning.

As previously argued, for working class children education improved, but, for men of open mind, as John Stuart Mill, it was not enough. Mill advocated for equality and

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>59</sup> M. Arnold, *Culture and Anarchy*, cit., p.97

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>60</sup> Ivi.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>61</sup> *Ibidem*, p. 36

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>62</sup> Cf. *Ibidem*, pp. 100-1

for the possibility of a higher education. According to Mill, differences between social classes were only a matter of education. Even if in his õ-youthful propagandismø John Stuart Mill embraced the faith of his father in a popular education based on the diffusion of knowledge and the influence of reasonö<sup>63</sup>, as argued by Roellinger Jr, he lately pointed to a õdemocracy of intellectö, claiming an education which comprehended not only practical and useful knowledge, as his father and the Benthamites proposed, but, as Rowllinger maintained, also the õcultivation of feelingsö and õproclaimed that -the only useful knowledge is that which teaches us how to seek what is good and avoid what is eviløö<sup>64</sup>.

Milløs idea of Culture had some points in common with Arnoldøs such as the importance of education, the value given to Greek and Roman culture and the praise of poetry. Moreover, both saw the aim of education as the self-development of the individual. Mill, however, differs from Arnold because he gave much more importance to the individual whereas Arnold theorized about a small *élite* of intellectuals who has to guide humanity towards perfect society. Mill evaluated the individual because he advocated for liberty and equality for everyone, he demanded

liberty of conscience, in the most comprehensive sense; liberty of thought and feeling; absolute freedom of opinion and sentiment on all subjects, practical or speculative, scientific, moral or theological<sup>65</sup>

Interestingly, the intellectual freedom and individualism proposed by Mill, were concepts which greatly influenced Hardy in his portrait of Angel Clare<sup>66</sup>. Hardy was so familiar with the works of Mill that he õclaimed that in the 1860s he knew Milløs *On Liberty* :almost by heartøö<sup>67</sup>, as Schweik reported, and often his characters quote Mill or refer to his notions on intellectual liberty.

However, there was one thing Mill did not include in his idea of education: physical science. Huxley, instead, strived to make it enter as a discipline in colleges,

 <sup>&</sup>lt;sup>63</sup> F. X. Roellinger Jr., *Mill on Education*, õThe Journal of General Educationö, Vol. 6, No. 4, July 1952, pp. 246-259, p. 249.
<sup>64</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>64</sup> Ivi.

<sup>65</sup> J. S. Mill, On Liberty, http://etext.virginia.edu/etcbin/toccer-

new2?id=MilLib2.xml&images=images/modeng&data=/texts/english/modeng/parsed&tag=public&part=1&division=di v1

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>66</sup> Cf. D. J. DeLaura, The Ache of Modernism in Hardyøs Later Novels, cit. p. 396

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>67</sup> R. Schweik, *op. cit.*, p. 66.

considering it õabsolutely essentialö<sup>68</sup>. Nevertheless, Huxley recognized the importance of both aspects of education, science and humanities, believing that õfor the purpose of attaining real culture, an exclusively scientific education is at least as effectual as an exclusively literary educationö<sup>69</sup>.

But, all three intellectuals based their knowledge on the study of antiquity, and they agreed that there was no other example of perfect intellectual freedom, or as Arnold wrote õthere was the utmost energy of life there, public and private, the most entire freedom, the most unprejudiced and intelligent observation of human affairs.ö<sup>70</sup>.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>68</sup> T. H. Huxley, *Science and Culture* (1880) in *T. H. Huxley on Education, A Selection from his Writings*, New York, Cambridge University Press, 1971, pp. 180-188, p. 180.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>69</sup> *Ibidem*, p. 181

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>70</sup> M. Arnold, *On the Modern Element in Literature* in *The Complete Prose Works of Matthew Arnold*, ed. R.H. Super and A. Arbor, Rexdale, The University of Michigan Press, 1960, 9 vols, vol. I, pp. 18-37, p. 23

#### 1.4 Paganism and Aestheticism

Along with the Judeo-Christian tradition, western culture had always been affected also by Greek culture which fostered in the Nineteenth century a revival of Paganism, or rather of a part of the Hellenic religion.

The main centre of classical learning had been Oxford for many centuries, but during the XIX<sup>th</sup> century the classical studies became a privileged field of research in the universities. As Hurst wrote: õGreece provided familiar and idealized cultural touchstones for the classically-educated Victorian gentlemen who considered themselves heirs to the Hellenic traditionö<sup>71</sup>.

Starting with the Romantic period, when the revived interest in classical culture was imported from Germany, Greek religion, associated with light, pleasure and nature, acquired admiration and followers. Greece was seen as an escape from the mistakes of the Christianity of the Middle Ages, which were, according to Evangelista, the õmortification of the senses, their false promises of redemption, and their failure to achieve any real emancipation.ö<sup>72</sup> Though the contemporary neo-medieval movement strongly criticized Hellenism looking at the ancient religion with suspicion and considering it as a sinful and depreciable cult, the cultural *élite*, which was already imbued with classical art and literature, preferred the humanism and enlightenment, the democracy and also complexity of the Greek culture rather than the restrictions of Christianity.

Matthew Arnold tried, during his career, to find a compromise between the two forces which, according to him, contributed to shape the world. For him, both Hellenism and Hebraism, from which Christianity derived, had the same aim, namely the perfection of man. Also other Victorian intellectuals attempted to revise the hostility towards paganism, suggesting, as argued by Louis, õthat the same religious sensibility informed both ancient Greek and modern Christian religionö<sup>73</sup>.

Different attitudes can be seen also within the admirers of Paganism and Hellenic culture. Strong importance was given to the idealization of Greek spirit as a source of sweetness, light and beauty, but Victorians showed interest also for the Mysteries of Greek religion, namely the Eleusinian and Dionysian cults. These mysteries, and also

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>71</sup> I. Hurst, *Victorian Literature and the Reception of Greece and Rome*, õLiterature Compassö Vol. 7 No. 6 (2010), pp. 484-495, p. 484

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>72</sup> S. Evangelista, *Aestheticism and Ancient Greece, Hellenism: Reception, Gods in Exile*, (2009) Basingstoke, Palgrave Macmillan, 2009, p. 32

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>73</sup> M.K. Louis, *op. cit.* p. 330

the myths of the ancient world, were elaborated and retold in order to represent more modern issues and tales, as in Hardyøs *Tess of the DøUrbervilles*, in which, according to Hurst, the õcharacters unknowingly act out the myth of Persephoneö<sup>74</sup>.

During the Victorian period, the myth of Persephone gained an extraordinary popularity not merely because of Hardyøs novel, but especially through the poems of Swinburne õAt Eleusisö or Tennyson õDemeter and Persephoneö. As argued by Louis, it was originally a õtale of disconnection and reconnectionö, but then it õturned into a tale of disconnection onlyö<sup>75</sup>, focusing the attention especially on sorrow and on the abduction by Hades excluding the reconciliation between Persephone and Demeter. Exactly from this point of disconnection from the original story, Pater developed his own mythography of Greece, writing about both the Eleusinian and Dionysian mysteries in his work of 1895, *Greek Studies*. In this work, Pater underlined the importance of passion in Greek religion and the Greek perception and attitude on sex, describing a more complex culture than Arnoldøs picture of Greek culture, and correcting, as Evangelista stated, õArnoldøs sanitized and bloodless idealization of ancient Greeceö<sup>76</sup>.

Therefore, Paterøs aim was to demonstrate that Greek religion and culture was superior to Christianity and, according to DeLaura, õpart of his strategy is to emphasize, [í] the Biblicaløand Hedievaløquality of Greek myth, its Hacrednessø and Hmysteryøö<sup>77</sup> For Pater, religious sensibility did not illuminate and it did not provide moral clarity. Religion only provided impressions, atmospheres, different for every individual.

Pater certainly modified Arnoldøs theories and, as DeLaura explained, he was in debt to Arnoldøs essay *Pagan and Mediaeval Religious Sentiment* in which Arnold described a õpolarized opposition of the medieval -religion of sorrowø and the allegedly superficial -religion of pleasureø of the Greeksö<sup>78</sup>. Yet, even Pater tried to compromise Christianity and Hellenism and attempted to redeem Christianity even if he blames it for the Churchøs condemn of pleasure and beauty. But Pater searched for a harmonization of human forces, and he found it only in Greek Culture.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>74</sup> I. Hurst, *op. cit.* p. 486.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>75</sup> M.K. Louis, *op. cit.* p. 346.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>76</sup> S. Evangelista, op. cit. p. 38.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>77</sup> D. J. DeLaura, *Hebrew and Hellene in Victorian England: Newman, Arnold, and Pater*, cit. p. 247.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>78</sup> *Ibidem*, p. 248.

At the fin-de-siècle, Paganism and mythology enjoyed increased of popularity after the publication of *The Golden Bough* (1890) by James Frazer, and then with the work of Jane Ellen Harrison.

Despite all the admiration for Paganism, if initially Greek Religion was useful to criticize and substitute Christianity, at the end of the century the same resentment against Christian religion was turned against the Olympian Gods, who were attacked, according to Louis

for their separateness from humanity, their lack of sympathetic feeling, their indifference or cruelty, while the Mysteries are increasingly seen as expression of human anguish, hunger, or desire ó revelations of the sacral within the swift, bloody, and beautiful cycles of natural life. In the end, only the gods that die survive; the imperishable gods are dead.<sup>79</sup>

A new sensibility arose, which tried to exalt and celebrate life according to the Dionysian Mystery. This new attitude offered a life within Nature, and it can be argued that it seemed a sort of inheritance of the Romantic sensibility, which still lasts at the end of the century.

Towards the end of the XIX<sup>th</sup> century, deriving his sources from the revival of paganism and the influence of Hellenism in culture, the Aesthetic movement acquired remarkable importance. Although Pater is now considered its father, because of the publication of his *Studies in the History of the Renaissance* in 1873, Aestheticism had its origins also in Romanticism and Pre-Raphaelites, and of course in Arnold<sup>80</sup>.

As it happened to the word culture, also the words art and artist changed their meaning throughout the century. They had come to indicate a special kind of truth and human being, and the word aesthete meant a special kind of person related to the new sense of the word *aesthetics* which, as Williams explained, õwas found to describe the judgement of artö<sup>81</sup>.

As well as many other Victorian intellectuals, Pater looked at his present time conscious of its crisis and loss of faith in Christianity. He believed, as Beaumont

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>79</sup> M.K. Louis, *op. cit.* p. 354

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>80</sup> Cf. D. J. DeLaura, Hebrew and Hellene in Victorian England: Newman, Arnold, and Pater, cit. p. 230

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>81</sup> R. Williams, *op. cit.* p. xvi

claimed, that the õpaganistic impulses of the past [i] can redeem the present<sup>82</sup>. In *The Renaissance*, he searched the presence of the Hellenic temperament in contemporary life and described how the pagan spirit was still a strong presence at the end of the middle ages; furthermore, he demonstrated that the age of Lorenzo deø Medici was comparable to the Athens of Pericles, which had been so much exalted by Arnold in *On the Modern Element in Literature*.

Pater certainly agreed with Arnold in his description of the qualities of Hellenism, namely the clearness of vision, the radiancy, which were summarized in Arnoldøs õthe sweetness and lightö. The important features of Greek culture were for both authors, in particular for Pater, essential qualities for men to attain perfection.

Notwithstanding Arnoldøs great influence on Pater, since the sixties, the period of Arnoldøs religious crisis, Pater commenced a process of deconstruction and developing of Arnoldøs concepts. According to Arnold, art always conveyed a moral or social purpose or message, which can be helpful to elevate the human mind and soul. Pater, on the contrary, in the famous conclusion of *The Renaissance*, wrote what was to become the main Aesthetic tenet, art for artøs sake<sup>83</sup>, which completely detached art from the moral and social sphere.

Yet, Pater was so indebted to Arnold that he quoted him at the beginning of *The Renaissance*. And, most important, as argued by Delaura, his

four-part division of human nature  $\hat{0}$  -the body, the senses, the heart, the intelligence  $\hat{0}$  is so close to the final formula of Arnold's *Pagan and Mediaeval Religious Sentiment*, -the senses and understanding, [...] the heart and imagination as to suggest that Pater is consciously countering Arnold's assignment to the Renaissance of the senses and understanding alone. Pater is in effect asserting that the Renaissance is as adequate an expression of the -imaginative reason as adequate a servant of the -imodern spirit as Arnold's great Greek century.<sup>84</sup>

Even if Arnold had already stated the superiority of Hellenism to Hebraism in *Culture and Anarchy*, Pater overexalted the Greek ideal, and tended to shape human

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>82</sup> M. Beaumont, *Introduction*, in W. Pater, *The Renaissance, Studies in Art and Poetry*, (1873), Oxford, Oxford University Press, 2010, pp. vii-xxix, p. xv

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>83</sup> W. Pater, *The Renaissance, Studies in Art and Poetry*, (1873), Oxford, Oxford University Press, 2010, p.121.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>84</sup> D. J. DeLaura, *Hebrew and Hellene in Victorian England: Newman, Arnold, and Pater*, cit. p. 236.

life on the Greek model. But Pater, as Arnold had done before him, had õto come to terms with the medieval inheritance of the modern worldö<sup>85</sup>, and in a reconciliatory mood he recognized to the culture of the middle ages its own merits, such as the flourishing of courtly love and poetry, and courtly art.

Interestingly, Pater wrote also a short essay, *Diaphaneitè* (1895), describing what Beaumont called an ideal kind of person who õreinstates the ethics and aesthetics [í ] of Hellenismö<sup>86</sup> and õsublimate [í ] the exquisite sensitivityö<sup>87</sup> of the diaphanous character. But again some sort of a diaphanous character had been already present in Arnold, since, as DeLaura wrote, õPater's ÷diaphanousø temperament is in fact the moral equivalent of Arnold's ideal of ÷disinterested criticismøö<sup>88</sup>.

Moreover some features of Paterøs diaphanousness are to be found in the character of Angel in *Tess of the DøUrbervilles*. According to Pater features of the diaphanous temperament, are õa moral sexlessnessö and õa clear crystal natureö<sup>89</sup> which are all features present in Angel. But Angel still retains a conservative and ethical aspect, which is incompatible with Paterøs idea of the ideal human disposition. Also in Jocelyn Pierston, the protagonist of *The Well-Beloved*, it is possible to find some of the attributes of *Diaphaneitè*, such as the passion, and the pursuit of harmony and beauty. But what makes Hardy so different from Pater is that neither Jocelyn nor Angel are the agents of that õregeneration of the worldö<sup>90</sup> which, according to Pater, was what this kind of temperament was to produce because, despite their Hellenism, they both lack harmony being desperately modern characters.

Hardyøs *The Well-Beloved* drew from Paterøs Aestheticism also the concept of Ideal Beauty and the powerful drive of sensual desire which are the two forces that dominated Jocelyn Pearston throughout the whole novel. What Hardy described in this book is an artistic temperament, and its real theme is the relationship of art to life<sup>91</sup> as the subtitle of the book, *A Sketch of a Temperament*, explained. Jocelyn Pearston is described as wonderful and successful sculptor but he is also a frustrated

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>85</sup> *Ibidem*, p. 256.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>86</sup> M. Beaumont, op. cit., p. xii.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>87</sup> Ivi.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>88</sup> D. J. DeLaura, *Hebrew and Hellene in Victorian England: Newman, Arnold, and Pater*, cit. p. 228.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>89</sup> W. Pater, *Diaphaneitè* in *The Renaissance, Studies in Art and Poetry*, (1873), Oxford, Oxford University Press, 2010, pp. 136-140, p.139.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>90</sup> *Ibidem*, p. 140.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>91</sup> Cf. J. Thomas, *Introduction* cit., p. x.

Platonic lover and he lives an existence of wandering, following his ideal aesthetic beauty which eludes him all the time.

## **Chapter two**

## Tess of the DøUrbervilles

#### 2.1 Angel as a õsample product of the last five-and-twenty yearsö

When *Tess of the DøUrbervilles* was published in 1891, Thomas Hardy was already well known as a novelist and poet. The novel immediately gained a õscandalous notorietyö<sup>92</sup>, due to the complexity of its heroine and the provoking themes explored by Hardy, such as the concept of womanøs purity and the attack on some tenets of Christian morality. Moreover, besides its tragic aspects, *Tess* shows the price of progress and the results of a life conducted outside traditional beliefs and habits. *Tess* is also characterized by the contrast between Christianity and Paganism and by a representation of an ambiguous Nature and of an ancient world which seems to resist to modernity.

Throughout the Victorian era these themes had returned frequently from Romantic authors to the Aestheticism at the end of the century, and as already argued, Hardy was deeply indebted to Huxley, Mill and also Pater. But in *Tess of the DøUrbervilles* the deep influence of Arnold is clearly visible, especially in the characterization of the male protagonist, Angel Clare.

But *Tess of the DøUrbervilles* can be seen as  $\exists$  complex response to Arnoldø as DeLaura defined it, also because Hardy attempted to delineate

a :Greekøor :Hellenicøview of life, which is also somehow  $\div$  naturalø [í] an interest in Arnoldøs doctrine of culture [í] and the unrelenting attack on Christianity, the Churches, and their :redemptiveøtheolatry.<sup>93</sup>

All these features converged in the character of Angel, the most -Arnoldianø of all Hardyøs protagonists. The characterization of Angel shows some features already present in Arnoldøs description of the Hellenic man in the fourth chapter of *Culture and Anarchy*, -Hellenism and Hebraismø Arnold wrote that the Hellenic human being õis invested with a kind of aerial ease, clearness, and radiancy; they are full of what we call sweetness and lightö<sup>94</sup>. Hardy referred to Angel in similar terms: õmore

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>92</sup> M. R. Higonnet, *Introduction*, in *Tess of the DøUrbervilles*, (1891) ed. T. Dolin, London, Penguin, 1998, pp. xix-xli, p. xix.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>93</sup> D. J. DeLaura, *The Ache of Modernism in Hardyøs Later Novels* cit., p. 381.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>94</sup> M. Arnold, *Culture and Anarchy* cit., p. 99.

spiritual than animal; he had himself well in hand, and was singularly free from grossnessö<sup>95</sup>, and these terms also recall Paterøs diaphanous character.

Arnoldøs influence, alongside with Milløs, are clear in the portrait of the intellectual life of Angel. His will of reforming the world according to freedom of thought, and of spreading the dight are reminiscent of Milløs equalitarian principles and Arnoldøs Hellenism. Angel refuses the rigidity and dogmatism of the Church, preferring philosophy, and in his melancholic and artistic attitude recalls also a sort of Shelleyan, ethereal and Platonic hero. Moreover, Angel does not only question the principles of Church, but he actually prefers õsermons in stonesö<sup>96</sup> namely, philosophy and books and he joined a sort of Hellenic Paganism, as Hardy defined his creed, referring both to the Arnoldian Hellenism and to a natural paganism still present in the rural communities of England.

Angel refuses both to attend University and to take the orders, as his father and brothers did, and he actually wanders through the country, experiencing Londonøs temptations and finally reaching Talbothays in the Var Vale where he wants to acquire practical skills in farming and dairy managing. Interestingly, Angel, during his education, is assailed by the same religious doubts which tormented Hardy for all his life.

When Angel explains to his father why he did not want to take the orders, Hardy wrote in few lines what most of the intellectual *élite* of the Victorian age had felt or thought:

I love the church as one loves a parent. I shall always have the warmest affection for her. There is no institution for whose history I have a deeper admiration; but I cannot honestly be ordained her minister, as my brothers are, while she refuses to liberate her mind from an untenable redemptive theolatry.<sup>97</sup>

The same feeling of discomfort was experienced by Arnold during his religious crisis of the sixties. Hardy, who read *Culture and Anarchy* but also *Pagan and Mediaeval Religious Sentiment*, shared with Arnold the sense of the inadequacy of the Church and its models and gave a strong importance to the Hellenic aspects of life. Hardy

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>95</sup> T. Hardy, *Tess of the DøUrbervilles* cit., ch. XXXI, p. 192.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>96</sup> *Ibidem*, ch. XXIII, p. 143.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>97</sup> *Ibidem*, ch. XVIII, p. 115.

infused Angel with this vision of a life conducted within Hellenism, and he actually tells his father that õit might have resulted far better for mankind if Greece had been the source of the religion of modern civilization, and not Palestineö<sup>98</sup>. This idea derives directly from *Pagan and Mediaeval Religious Sentiment*, and provokes in Angeløs father, who is a good-hearted but conservative man, a painful grief. Angeløs father, The Reverend Clare, is a õman of Apostolic simplicity in life and thoughtö<sup>99</sup>, he loves the church to the utmost, he believes in the truth of the Bible and is õantipathetic in a high degreeö to the õaesthetic, sensuous, pagan pleasure and lush womanhoodö<sup>100</sup>. Angel, instead, believes in a pagan life, full of natural impulses and pleasures. He shares the Arnoldian vision of Greek Paganism, because as Arnold wrote, õthe ideal, cheerful, sensuous, pagan life in not sick or sorryö, it is all õsense and understandingö<sup>101</sup>. Moreover, Arnold added in *Culture and Anarchy*:

Essential in Hellenism is the impulse to the development of the whole man, to connecting and harmonizing all parts in him, perfecting all, leaving none to take their chance; because the characteristic bent of Hellenism, as has been said, is to find the intelligible law of things, and there is no intelligible law of things, things cannot really appear intelligible, unless they are also beautiful.<sup>102</sup>

Angel is the  $\pm$ developed manø and he can actually see the beauty and truth in things. But despite aspiring at õthe spontaneity of consciousnessö<sup>103</sup> of Hellenism, he is not perfect according to Hardy. Although in the Talbothays Vale, when he meets Tess and falls in love with her, Angel is seen as a perfect pagan and a nature worshipper, he soon falls on his Puritan or, according to Arnoldøs definition, Hebrew side.

In the arcadian and idyllic Var Vale, Angel plays the harp, reads poetry and comes in contact with a natural and simple world of peasants. Here, he can inscribe Tess in his pantheon, idealizing her and calling her with deity names<sup>104</sup>. In the perfectness of the ancient Vale of Var they can restore a Greek model of life, or, retaining a Christian vision, they can be seen as new Adam and Eve<sup>105</sup>.

<sup>98</sup> Ibidem, ch. XXV, p. 158.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>99</sup> *Ibidem*, ch. XXV, p. 157.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>100</sup> *Ibidem*, ch. XXV, pp. 157-8.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>101</sup> M. Arnold, Pagan and Mediaeval Religious Sentiment, cit., pp. 222-226.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>102</sup> M. Arnold, *Culture and Anarchy* cit., p. 114.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>103</sup> *Ibidem*, p. 97.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>104</sup> Cf. T. Hardy, *Tess of the DøUrbervilles* cit., ch. XX, p. 130.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>105</sup> Cf. Ivi.

Tess believes in him and in his spontaneity of consciousness, in his õsweetness and lightö, she worships him like a God. Actually, Hardy described Tessøs feelings as  $\pm idolatry \phi^{106}$ .

Moreover, Angel is a guide for Tess, he is õso godlike in her eyesö<sup>107</sup>, and he acquires the role of the educator for Tess, infusing in her part of his knowledge. As Hardy wrote: õher natural quickness, and her admiration for him, having led her to pick up his vocabulary, his accent, and fragments of his knowledge, to a surprising extent.ö<sup>108</sup>.

But Angel is not only the educator of Tess, the natural woman, he is also, in Arnoldøs terms, õin the position of one who makes a contribution in aid of the practical necessities of our times.ö<sup>109</sup>. He fits perfectly Arnoldøs description of the culture-developed man, who could reform the world. But, according to Bonaparte, he also recalls Paterøs Marius, in his intention of õreconstruct, re-conceive, re*make* the worldö<sup>110</sup>. Furthermore, in Angeløs beliefs the influence of Milløs ideas is clearly foreshadowed:

in the lapse of ages, improved systems of moral and intellectual training would appreciably, perhaps considerably, elevate the involuntary and even the unconscious instincts of human nature; but up to the present day culture, as far as he could see, might be said to have affected only the mental epiderm of those lives which had been brought under its influence. This belief was confirmed by his experience of women, which, having latterly been extended from the cultivated middle-class into the rural community, had taught him how much less was the intrinsic difference between the good and wise woman of another social stratum, than between good and bad, the wise and the foolish, of the same stratum or class.<sup>111</sup>

Clearly, Angeløs idea of the equality of classes and also of women and men derive from Milløs On Liberty and The Subjection of Women, while the idea of the

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>106</sup> Cf. *Ibidem*, ch. XXXIII, p. 214.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>107</sup> *Ibidem*, ch. XXIX, p. 181.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>108</sup> *Ibidem*, ch. II, p. 17.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>109</sup> Cf. M. Arnold, *Culture and Anarchy*, cit., p. 71.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>110</sup> F. Bonaparte, *The Deadly Misreading of Mythic Texts: Thomas Hardy's õTess of the d'Urbervillesö*, õInternational Journal of the Classical Traditionö, Vol. 5, No. 3, (Winter, 1999), pp. 415-431 p.417.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>111</sup> T. Hardy, *Tess of the DøUrbervilles* cit., ch. XXVI, p. 165.

improvement brought about by culture and education can be retraceable again in Arnoldøs *Culture and Anarchy*.

However, Angel remains in his Hellenising vision only as far as pain and suffering do not fall upon him. Both Tess and Angel are in fact mistaken in idealizing each other too much.

When finally Tess confesses to him her past, Angel refuses her. He condemns Tess and she cannot find the forgiveness that she has hoped to receive.

Angel surrenders to his Hebrew self, he is not following õthe whole play of the universal orderö<sup>112</sup> anymore, but he actually follows only his õstrictness of conscienceö<sup>113</sup>. Tess is the victim of the Puritan side of Angel. He leaves her, and he altogether rejects his Hellenism. According to Hazen:

in its confrontation with the problem posed by Tess's revelation of "sin," Angel's allegiance to his Hellenic Paganism receives its hardest test. Here his ability to make a "stream of fresh thought play freely about our stock notions and habits" (Arnold's words) breaks down temporarily, and he judges Tess according to notions and habits of mind inherited from the surrounding culture.<sup>114</sup>

In his crisis Angel continually wavers from a more sympathetic and pagan attitude to a more rigid and Christian evaluation of Tessøs -sinø In search for advice he quotes in his mind both the words of -the pagan moralistø Marcus Aurelius, and of Christ, making the difference between the pagan and Christian thought apparent. But neither Marcus Aurelius nor Christ help Angel to escape his slavery to -custom and conventionalityø<sup>115</sup>, and to their limitations. He even accuses Tess of being only a peasant woman, claiming that they belong to õdifferent societies, different manners. You [Tess] are an unapprehending peasant woman, who have never been initiated into the proportions of social things. You don¢t know what to sayö<sup>116</sup>. In this passage

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>112</sup> M. Arnold, *Culture and Anarchy*, cit., p. 97.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>113</sup> Ivi.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>114</sup> J. Hazen, *Angel's Hellenism in "Tess of the D'Urbervilles"*, õCollege Literatureö, Vol. 4, No. 2, Spring, 1977, pp. 129-135, p. 132.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>115</sup> Cf. T. Hardy, *Tess of the DøUrbervilles* cit., ch. XXXIX, p. 265.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>116</sup> *Ibidem*, ch. XXXV, p. 232.

Angeløs conventionality of thought reaches its peak, provoking in Tess õan impulse to angerö<sup>117</sup>.

Angel flies to Brazil, and in such a savage and naturally violent world as that southern state of America he starts to reconsider what is moral and who is the moral man or woman. He sees the death of many children during his journey, he experiences what is the struggle for survival and he falls ill. During a journey, Angel entrusts to a stranger the story of his life. The stranger replied to him othat he was wrong in coming away from her. $\ddot{o}^{118}$ . The strangers advice and sudden death arouse in Angel remorse, and his words of fluenced Clare more than all the reasoned ethics of the philosophers $\ddot{o}^{119}$ . Therefore Angel returns home not only physically changed, of he had mentally aged a dozen years $\ddot{o}^{120}$  and he finally forgives and accepts Tess for what she really is, a -child of the soils pure and innocent.

Tess and Angel suffer from the same disease, õthe ache of modernismö defined by DeLaura as õthe distress and rootlessness of those whose intellectual honesty forces them to live without a sense of Providenceö<sup>121</sup>.

As it was previously argued, Arnold was the first English intellectual who delineated the price of modernism. In his early poetry Arnold actually described the sense of wandering õbetween two worldsö <sup>122</sup> and the impossibility to rest in a world which continually changes. But Hardyøs characters, actually, wander between an ancient world rooted in natural and conservative beliefs, which include also superstitions and ambigous relationships with Nature, and a modern world characterized by consumerism, violence and mechanization.

But Angeløs most prominent defect is the lack of õfire and strengthö<sup>123</sup>, the two qualities which are present in the modern world and which had been cultivated and worshipped through labour and mechanization. Both qualities, according to Arnold, were present in the Barbarians and Philistines, and Hardy portrayed Alec DøUrbervilles in accordance with these characteristics, rendering him the opposite of Angel. Of course  $\exists$  fire and strengthø were not to predominate, as they do in Alec, otherwise they were doomed to produce fanaticism and an energy directed only to

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>117</sup> Ivi.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>118</sup> *Ibidem*, ch. XLIX, p. 341.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>119</sup> Ivi.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>120</sup> *Ibidem*, ch. XLIX, p. 340.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>121</sup> D. J. DeLaura, *The Ache of Modernism in Hardyøs Later Novels*, cit. p. 381.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>122</sup> M. Arnold, Stanzas from the Great Chartreuse, quoted in D. J. DeLaura, The Ache of Modernism in Hardyøs Later Novels cit. p. 381

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>123</sup> M. Arnold, *Culture and Anarchy* cit., p. 120

carnal possession. If Angel is only spirit, Alec is only flesh and moreover, Alec is more a Byronic hero than a Shelleyan character.

Furthermore, Arnold advocated for a balance of forces, in order to õget the basis for a less confused action and a more complete perfectionö<sup>124</sup> but Hardy showed through the characters of Angel and Alec how in the modern mechanized world, the balance of flesh and spirit is no longer possible.

If Angel reassembles the Hellenic character, Alec possesses the qualities of both Barbarians and Philistines, i.e. aristocracy and middle class. Alec is the son of a middle class man who had made money with trades and moreover, with the profits of his business, Alecøs father bought the aristocratic title of the DøUrbervilles. Alec is described as a young man full of energy and passion, selfish and vigorous. These are all features present in Arnoldøs description of the Barbarians:

staunch individualism, [...] passion for doing as one likes, [...] an exterior culture mainly: it consisted principally in outward gifts and graces, in looks, manners, accomplishments, prowess [...] far within, and unawakened, lay a whole range of powers of thought and feeling, to which these interesting productions of nature had, from the circumstances of their life, no access.<sup>125</sup>

Being an aristocrat only by name, he possesses also the qualities of the Philistines, namely õan enemy of the children of lightö<sup>126</sup>. In opposition to Angel, he is one of those who õdo not pursue sweetness and light, but prefer to them [that] machinery of businessö<sup>127</sup>.

In fact, Alec treats Tess as an object, from whom he can derive exclusively sexual pleasure. Even if he loves her, he does it in a contorted and unhealthy way. He invests Tess with a morbid passion obtaining only hate and fear from her. He is the ultimate product of the modern and mechanized world.

But, as previously argued, the product of the modern world is also Angel. For Hardy, Angel and Arnold shared the same sin, i.e.  $\pm$ the imperfect modernismø<sup>128</sup> because, according to DeLaura, they both fall on õcustom and conventionalityö<sup>129</sup>. In Arnoldøs

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>124</sup> M. Arnold, *Culture and Anarchy*, cit., p. 120.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>125</sup> *Ibidem*, pp. 76-7.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>126</sup> *Ibidem*, p. 75.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>127</sup>Ivi.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>128</sup> Cf. D. J. DeLaura, The Ache of Modernism in Hardyøs Later Novels, cit. p. 382.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>129</sup> Ivi.

religious crisis of the sixties and in his religious writings, Hardy saw insincerityø because Arnold tried to compromise the moral dogmas of religion with Hellenism. Towards Angel instead, Hardy remained ambiguous, although he condemned him for his rejection of Tess and lack of sympathy.

According to DeLaura, Angel Clare became for Hardy õthe representative of a whole generation of  $\div$ advancedøbut misdirected thought.ö<sup>130</sup>. Hardy had certainly portrayed the modern man, with all his defects and sins.

Angeløs sin is exactly that of being ineffectual, mere spirit, he is over-idealistic and for Hardy this can be a dangerous attitude<sup>131</sup>. In chapter XXXVI of *Tess*, Hardy wrote:  $\tilde{o}$ Clare's love was doubtless ethereal to a fault, imaginative to impracticability. $\ddot{o}^{132}$ . Clearly, Angel needed some of Alecøs animalism as the narrator hints when he says, in chapter XXXI that õhe was, in truth, more spiritual than animal; [í] he was rather bright than hot [í] more especially inclined to the imaginative and ethereal $\ddot{o}^{133}$ .

It can be also argued that Angeløs conservative judgement leads Tess to her tragedy not less than Alecøs violence. The tragedy in *Tess* is composed of two forces, the first is the ancient theme of the sins of the fathers falling upon the children, represented in her relationship with Alec and his violence. The second is the price of modernism and progress which affected also Tessøs life, and it is portrayed in Angeløs ineffectuality.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>130</sup> *Ibidem*, p. 385.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>131</sup> Cf. *Ibidem*, cit. p. 392.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>132</sup> T. Hardy, *Tess of the DøUrbervilles* cit., ch. XXXVI, p. 244.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>133</sup> Ibidem, ch. XXXI, p. 192. For further explanations on this issue see E. Villari, La Fatale Ostilità tra Carne e Spirito. Paganesimo, Cristianesimo e Tragico Moderno in -Tess of the DøUrbervillesø and -Jude the Obscureø, in P.Tortonese ed., Il Paganesimo nella letteratura delløOttocento, Roma, Bulzoni, 2009, pp. 205-28.

## 2.2 Tess: Nature and Paganism

In *Tess of the DøUrbervilles*, Hardy showed the dichotomy between a benevolent and indifferent nature, a theme which runs throughout the whole Nineteenth century, from the beginning, with the Romantic poets as Wordsworth, who saw Nature as a positive force, to the late debate originated by the publication of Darwinøs *The Origin of Species* which changed drastically the perspectives on the relationship between man and nature.

In the novel Hardy struggled to find a compromise between a romantic vision of Nature attached to his heroine and the scientific idea derived from Darwin and Huxley.

The benevolent aspects of Nature are presented in the character of Tess, who is frequently associated with birds or other wild animals, and Angel more than once calls her õa daughter of the soilö<sup>134</sup> and later he describes Tess as õfull of poetry ó actualized poetry [...] She *lives* what paper-poets only write<sup>135</sup>. Even Alec states that õthere was never before such a beautiful thing in Nature or Artö<sup>136</sup>.

In the novel, as Lodge claimed, Hardy constantly emphasized Tessøs kinship to Nature which õdrew him towards the Romantic view of Nature as a reservoir of benevolent impulsesö<sup>137</sup>.

Moreover, he imbued Nature with human qualities that are merged with the human spirit. But only the rural world is seen by Hardy as imbued with ancient wisdom and positive Nature. The modern world is separated from this encouraging vision of Nature, as it is clearly explained in the description of the Stoke-DøUrbervilles mansion:

The Chase ó a truly venerable tract of forest land, one of the few remaining woodlands in England of undoubted primaeval date, wherein Druidical mistletoe was still found on aged oaks, and where enormous yew-trees, not planted by the hand of man, grew as they had grown when they were pollarded

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>134</sup> T. Hardy, *Tess of the DøUrbervilles*, cit., ch. XIX, p. 126

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>135</sup> *Ibidem*, ch. XXVI, p. 164.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>136</sup> *Ibidem*, ch. IX, p. 61.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>137</sup> D. Lodge, *Tess, Nature, and the Voices of Hardy*, in *Thomas Hardy Critical Assessments*, ed. G. Clarke, Mountfield, Helm Information, (1993), 4 vols., vol. IV, pp. 145-157, p.154.

for bows. All this sylvan antiquity, however, though visible from The Slopes, was outside the immediate boundaries of the estate.<sup>138</sup>

The Druidsø wisdom, along with magic and folktales are still present in the peasant world in which Tess is born. Joan Durbeyfields continually sings old ballads to her children and in Mr. Crickøs dairy superstitions and creeds survive and influence everyday life.

A new hand among workers, or somebody falling in love, are seen as explanations for the changes in the taste or production of milk. Moreover, old tales and legends accompany the social moments in the Talbothays dairy. According to Van Ghent, õthe magic is a knowledgeable mode of dealing with the unknowledgeableö<sup>139</sup>. For peasant people magic and pagan superstitions merged with Christian education.

Also Tess shared this feature with rural people. But, although õlike all the cottagers of Blackmoor Vale, Tess was steeped in fancies and prefigurative superstitionsö<sup>140</sup> she does not belong neither to the superstitious peasants nor to the puritanical middle class. She has  $\div$ a large and impulsive natureø<sup>141</sup>, and her beliefs are rooted both in the Christian education she has received and in the Pagan self which is innate in her. Reaching the dairy in the Var Vale, surrounded by Nature, Tess recognizes that her companions õdonøt quite know the Lord as yetö<sup>142</sup>, and the intrusive voice of the narrator explains that:

woman whose chief companions are the forms and forces of outdoor Nature retain in their souls far more of the Pagan fantasy of their remote forefathers than of the systematized religion taught their race at later date.<sup>143</sup>

This sound influence of Nature is used by Hardy also to justify Tessøs innocence. In fact it is the law of society which condemns Tess, and according to Hardy it had no foundation in Nature<sup>144</sup>. According to Paris, for Hardy õacts are good if they are

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>138</sup> T. Hardy, *Tess of the DøUrbervilles* cit., ch. V, p. 38.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>139</sup> D. Van Ghent, On Tess of the DøUrbervilles, in Thomas Hardy Critical Assessments cit., vol. IV, pp. 113-124, p. 122.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>140</sup> T. Hardy, *Tess of the DøUrbervilles* cit., ch. VI, p. 44.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>141</sup> Cf. *Ibidem*, ch. XII, p. 77.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>142</sup> *Ibidem*, ch. XVI, p. 104.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>143</sup> Ivi.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>144</sup> Cf. *Ibidem*, ch. XLI, p. 279.

in harmony with nature, bad if they are notö.<sup>145</sup> If this assumption is correct also the subtitle of the book, *A Pure Woman*, is properly given to Tess. As Angel learns after his travel to Brazil, Tess has always been pure and innocent, contrary to the social world which is corrupted and therefore judges her as sinful.

In one of the most moving passages in the novel, Hardy describes a lonely and desperate Tess who finds refuge in a plantation and õoutside humanity, she had at present no fearö<sup>146</sup>. Here, surrounded only by Nature and completely immerged in it, she hears the sounds of a group of wounded pheasants. She feels connected with these ±weaker fellows in Natureøand decides to ±put out of tortureøthe birds. Tess is ashamed of herself, however it is not for the blame and judgement she received from the laws of society but she is ashamed of supposing she is õthe most miserable being on earthö<sup>147</sup>. Nature and his creatures can be victims as well as Tess. Progress and modernity do not only render the life of ±the children of the soilø impossible but they also destroys the natural world. For Hardy modernity intrudes drastically in the rural world and this can be seen in the train which crosses the valley and in machines in the fields:

the machine had begun, and a moving concatenation was visible over the gate [...] along one side of the field the whole wain went, the arms of the *mechanical* reaper revolving slowly. [...] rabbits, hares, snakes, rats, mice, retreated inwards as into a fastness, unaware of the ephemeral nature of their refuge, and of the doom that awaited them later when [...] they were huddled together, friends and foes, till the last few yards of upright wheat fell also under the teeth of the unerring reaper<sup>148</sup>. [italics mine]

However, despite this vision of nature as life-giving and threatened by man, Hardy, and also Tess, know perfectly well that  $\tilde{o}$ the sun do shine on the just and on the unjust alike $\ddot{o}^{149}$ . She even says to her little brother Abraham that  $\div$ we live in a blighted star $\phi^{150}$ . Obviously this was also the conflict in Hardyøs mind which led him

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>145</sup> B. J. Paris, õA Confusion of Many Standardsö: Conflicting Value Systems in Tess of the d'Urbervilles, õNineteenth-Century Fictionö, Vol. 24, No. 1 (Jun., 1969), pp. 57-79 p. 64.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>146</sup> T. Hardy, *Tess of the DøUrbervilles*, cit., ch. XLI, p. 278.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>147</sup> *Ibidem*, ch. XLI, p. 279.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>148</sup> *Ibidem*, ch. XIV, p. 87.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>149</sup> *Ibidem*, ch. XIX, p. 126.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>150</sup> Cf. *Ibidem*, ch. IV, p. 31.

to question who has the authority õfor speaking of -Natureøs holy planøö<sup>151</sup>, and to reject Wordsworthøs philosophy of Nature as good and bounteous.

Even if, according to Lodge, a network of imagery and reference encourages us to think of Tess as essentially  $\exists$  touchø with Nature [...] it is equally true that Nature is indifferent to Tess and her fate<sup>152</sup>. For Hardy, as well as for Huxley,  $\exists$  nature is *un*moralø and it is neither good nor bad. Hardy, who shared Huxleyøs vision of Nature, argued in *Tess* that his heroine is pure and innocent according to the laws of the natural world.

It is the social world which judges and condemns Tess. Therefore, Hardy saw Nature as cruel because it is indifferent even in front of Tessøs tragedy. As Paris argued, õif Tess is an attack on society and convention, it is equally an attack on the cosmic processö<sup>153</sup>. The ÷cosmic processødescribed by Huxley in *Evolution and Ethics*, is õa process of incessant change, which has been going on for innumerable agesö<sup>154</sup> and, as previously argued, its most pervading characteristic was ÷the struggle for existenceø In this scenery, not only supernatural tragedy and society is against Tess, but also Nature, because she is not fit to survive in a cruel world.

It was actually the Victorian modern society, led by progress and new discoveries that misunderstood the concepts explained by Darwin in his groundbreaking theory. In fact, the reception of Darwin¢s theory of evolution had emphasized only the concept of survival and competition, diminishing the concept of co-operation among humans<sup>155</sup> which was fundamental in Darwin¢s theory as it is hinted in *The Origin of Species*: õI should premise that I use the term Struggle for Existence in a large and metaphorical sense, including dependence of one being on anotherö<sup>156</sup>. Among the supporters of the sympathy and compassion included in Darwin¢s theory there was also Huxley who did not deny the existence of pain, but he recognized its existence as well as highlighted the importance of its relation with pleasure and stressed the value of compassion enlarged also to animals. Hardy agreed with both scientists; in fact, in his *Apology*, the writer stated

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>151</sup> *Ibidem*, ch. IV, p. 24.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>152</sup> D. Lodge, op. cit., p. 152.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>153</sup> B. J. Paris, *op. cit.*, p. 65.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>154</sup> T. H. Huxley, *Prolegomena*, in *Evolution and Ethics and Other Essays*, Princeton, Princeton University Press, 2009, p. 5

p. 5 <sup>155</sup> Cf. E. B. Gose Jr., *Psychic Evolution: Darwinism and Initiation in Tess*, in *Thomas Hardy Critical Assessments* cit., vol. IV, p. 161.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>156</sup> C. Darwin, The Origin of the Species, quoted in E. Villari, *La Fatale Ostilità tra Carne e Spirito paganesimo, Cristianesimo e Tragico Moderno in 'Tess of the DøUrbervilles' e 'Jude the Obscure'* cit., p. 218.

whether the human and kindred animal races survive till the exhaustion or destruction of the globe, or whether these races perish and are succeeded by others before that conclusion comes, pain upon it, tongued or dumb, shall be kept to a minimum by loving-kindness<sup>157</sup>

Moreover, Hardy wrote in his notebook that õthe discovery of the law of evolution, which revealed that all organic creatures are of one family, shifted the centre of altruism from humanity to the whole conscious world collectivelyö<sup>158</sup>.

And in his novel Hardy portrayed Tess as the only one capable of feeling compassion and sympathy towards animals, as showed in the episode of Princeøs death or the pheasants-killing. She preserves a sense of altruism and proximity of man to nature which society has forgot. For this reason she is also a victim of the misinterpretation of the evolutionary system.

Moreover, according to Hardy it is hard to find morality, either in man or in Nature. In fact, Angel asks himself õwho was the moral man? Still more pertinently, who was the moral woman?ö<sup>159</sup> and due to the strangerøs advice he is able to state õthe beauty or ugliness of a character lay not only in its achievements, but in its aims and impulses; its true history lay, not among things done, but among things willedö<sup>160</sup>. In fact, throughout the whole novel the real self of Tess remains always the same, namely, her will is always directed to the good. Undoubtedly, in this way, Hardy minimized the importance of the moral laws of society which condemn Tess<sup>161</sup>. Yet, it is possible to see how Hardy agreed with Huxleyøs vision of the *un*morality of nature, because, as Van Ghent claims, in *Tess* there is an õantagonistic earth where events shape themselves by accident rather than by moral designö<sup>162</sup>.

The double standard in the characterization of Nature is equal to the two Pagan attitudes showed in *Tess*. As it was previously argued Angel follows the Hellenic principles of life but his paganism is founded more on an intellectual base rather than real impulses. On the other hand, Tess inherited a natural paganism which worships

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>157</sup> T. Hardy, *Apology* in õLate Lyrics and Earlierö, *The Complete Poetical Works of Thomas Hardy*, ed. S. Hynes, Oxford, Clarendon Press, 1984, 4 vols., vol. II, p. 319.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>158</sup> T. Hardy quoted in E. B. Gose Jr. op. cit., p. 161.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>159</sup> T. Hardy, *Tess of the DøUrbervilles*, cit., ch. XLIX, p. 340.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>160</sup> Ivi.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>161</sup> Cf. R. C. Schweik, *Moral Perspective in Tess of the D'Urbervilles*, College English, Vol. 24, No. 1 (Oct., 1962), pp. 14-18, p. 16

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>162</sup> D. Van Ghent, *op. cit.*, p. 120.

or follows a life in harmony with Nature. Hardy had always looked at Natural paganism with sympathy and in his previous novels there are many characters who live according to Nature, as for example Gabriel Oak in *Far from the Madding Crowd*.

Tess feels Nature and its joyous reinforcing power:

Her hopes mingled with the sunshine in an ideal photosphere which surrounded her as she bounded along against the soft south wind. She heard a pleasant voice in every breeze, and in every birdøs note seemed to lurk a joy.<sup>163</sup>

But Tessøs paganism can be dangerous as well as Angeløs Hellenic Paganism. Nature, as Bonica claimed, õcan also intensify human sorrowö<sup>164</sup>. Moreover, according to Bonica, õjudging Tess and nature according to Christian values renders both guilty. Judging Tess and nature according to pagan values renders them both innocentö<sup>165</sup> even if Hardy believed in the idea of Nature as *un*moral.

Tess is in conflict in her own judgement of her behaviour. If she judges her past according to Christian beliefs she feels guilty, when she looks at her past with a benevolent gaze derived from her harmony with nature she feels innocent. However, neither the moral world of society nor the pagan natural world can satisfy human needs.

Tessø inner conflict leads her to waver between a tendency to martyrdom and a strong will of self-preservation. She repeatedly says that she wishes she had never been born<sup>166</sup> or that she wants to kill herself<sup>167</sup>. Only at the end, when the world allows her to enjoy a perfect moment of happiness, she is ready to die and leave the ÷blighted starø

Significantly Tess is arrested in Stonehenge, situated in the centre of Wessex which was the quintessence of ancient druidical paganism. And in the pagan temple Tess for the first time feels she is at home: õyou used to say at Talbothays that I was a heathen. So now I am at homeö<sup>168</sup>.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>163</sup> T. Hardy, *Tess of the DøUrbervilles*, cit., ch. XVI, p. 103.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>164</sup> C. Bonica, *Nature and Paganism in Hardyøs Tess of the DøUrbervilles*, ELH, Vol. 49, No. 4 (Winter, 1982), pp. 849-862, p. 852.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>165</sup> *Ibidem*, p. 854.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>166</sup> Cf. T. Hardy, *Tess of the DøUrbervilles* cit., ch. XII, p. 76.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>167</sup> Cf. *Ibidem*, ch. XXXV, p. 230.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>168</sup> *Ibidem*, ch. LVIII, p. 393.

On the altar stone where she has fallen asleep she becomes a pure creature, ready to be sacrificed to God, that mysterious entity who has played with Tess for her entire life until, finally, õthe President of the Immortals [...] had ended his sport with Tessö<sup>169</sup>. Interestingly Hardy ended his novel with a verse from a Pagan writer, Aeschilous, but Angel and Liza-Lu leave hand in hand as Adam and Eve leave the Garden of Eden at the end of *Paradise Lost*. Once again are merged in Hardyøs vision Pagan and Christian tradition.

It is difficult to determine what or who is God for Hardy. In *Tess* Angel considers Tess as a deity and also Tess looks at him as if he were a God. But in the whole novel the sun can be seen as the primary deity. In chapter XIV an August dawn is presented to the reader as something alive:

The sun, on account of the mist, had a curious sentient, personal look, demanding the masculine pronoun for its adequate expression. His present aspect, coupled with the lack of all human forms in the scene, explained the old-time heliolatries in a moment. One could feel that a saner religion had never prevailed under the sky. The luminary was a golden-haired, beaming-faced, mild-eyed, God-like creature, gazing down in the vigour and intentness of youth upon an earth that was brimming with interest for him.<sup>170</sup>

However, the Heliolatry presence in the novel is as amoral as the nature to which it belongs. The sun sometimes shines on Tess and reinforces her joy, sometimes it disappears leaving Tess in a  $\exists$ mistø especially in the worst moments of her life, namely Alecø seduction, Angelø desertion and her final surrender to the police. The mist blurs the boundaries of reality for her. As Bonica claims, it is õa distorting medium that functions throughout Tess as a signal of moral or intellectual confusionö<sup>171</sup>.

Along with the primeval traces of religion, Hardy inserted in *Tess* also Romano-British religious traditions and festivities as the Cerealia rite and the May-Day festivity. According to Radford, *Tess* õchronicles the death of a figure traditionally associated with the blossoming organic fecundity of an agricultural milieu. [í ] Tess

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>169</sup> *Ibidem*, ch. LIX, p. 397.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>170</sup> *Ibidem*, ch. XIV, p. 86.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>171</sup> C. Bonica, *op. cit.*, p. 852.

Durbeyfield is the -survivalø of a moribund mythology whose actuality we no longer acceptö<sup>172</sup>.

According to Van Ghent, õthe subject [of Tess] is mythological, for it places the human protagonist in dramatic relationship with the non-human and orients his destiny among preternatural powersö<sup>173</sup>. Actually Hardy incorporated in *Tess* a real mythological figure, making of Tess a modern version of Persephone.

Even if the Eleusinian mysteries were õthe most famous and solemn religious rites of ancient Greeceö<sup>174</sup> Hardy was ironical in his representation of it and of the tale of Persephone. The name of Persephone does not appear in the novel, but, the title of the first phase, -The Maideng is linked to the Greek Goddess and the whole phase is connected with the myth. As in Paterøs *The Myth of Demeter and Persephone*, Tess is connected with flowers, especially roses, before her abduction by Alec who represents Hades. In the myth, also in Ovidøs version, Persephone was picking roses and poppies when Hades seduces her and carries her away to the Underworld<sup>175</sup>. Other, further parallelisms can be seen, according to Radford

when Alec carries an apprehensive and frightened Tess [...] off to his residence in a manner that grotesquely parodies Hadesøs seizing of the unwilling Persephone and bearing her away in his chariot to the Underworld. But instead of the Underworld godøs -golden carø, Alec ironically possesses a -dog-cartø<sup>176</sup>

In addition, seasons seem to follow the cycles of Tessøs tragedy, from a beautiful and sympathetic spring and summer to the rigid winter at Flintcomb-Ash, which can be seen as the Underworld or the Hell. Accordingly, at Flintcomb-Ash Tess is again provoked and harassed by Alec-Hades.

But Hardy ironically played with the roles of the myth. The role of Tessøs mother, Joan is a reverse of Demeterøs role. In fact, she sends Tess to Hadesø instead of saving her from him. As Felicia Bonaparte stated

unlike Demeter, who hears Persephone's cry at last and spends the larger part of the Hymn lamenting and searching for her daughter, Tessøs mother has not

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>172</sup> A. Radford, *Thomas Hardy and the Survivals of Time*, Aldershot. Ashgate, 2003, p. 160.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>173</sup> D. Van Ghent, *op. cit.*, p. 117.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>174</sup> James Frazer, *The Golden Bough*, quoted in A. Radford, *op. cit.*, p. 169.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>175</sup> Cf. A. Radford, *op. cit.*, p. 164.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>176</sup> *Ibidem*, p. 165

only so *-*elasticøa temperament that she neither feels nor realizes the agony her daughter suffers.<sup>177</sup>

Moreover, if in the myth Demeter wanders through the land in search of her daughter, in Hardyøs novel, it is Tess who wanders through England in search of work and new opportunities.

At the end of the novel, Alec-Hades gains back Tess, who becomes his mistress as in the myth Persephone becomes the  $\div$ Queen of the Underworldø Angeløs return however, leads Tess to kill Alec. This can be seen as an heroic deed, which permits Tess to follow her instinct. Of course, in the social world it is a criminal and morally wrong act. Tess is forced again to wander through the country, this time with Angel.

In this mythic interpretation of the novel, Angel is seen as an imperfect Apollo, the sun deity, who actually carries Tess to Stonehenge, the heathen temple dedicated to Sun, creating a parallelism between Greek and Druidic religion. Tess abandons her tired body over the altar stone as if she is ready to be sacrificed to some God.

Thus Tess never gains the regeneration and rebirth which are characteristic of Persephoneøs tale.

The ambivalence Hardy showed towards Nature and paganism is present also in the representation of the myth. The characters can be seen at the same time as Greek or Christian figures. In fact, Tess and Angel are not only Persephone and Apollo but also Adam and Eve, and Alec is both Hades and the Devil as clearly Alec states in chapter L (You are Eve, and I am the old other One come to tempt you in the disguise of an inferior animal<sup>178</sup>) quoting also Miltonøs verses from the *Paradise Lost*.

As its heroine, also the whole novel is a mixture of Christian and Pagan traditions.

Some of Tessøs feelings however, are connected not only to religion and Nature but also to the aesthetic experience. The aesthetic experience described by Pater at the end of *The Renaissance* is comparable to various moments in the novel in which the characters experience profound feelings, as for example, as Higonnet explained, the õecstatic rendition of pastoral loveö<sup>179</sup> in Talbothays, where Angel and Tess live their love as if they were the only human beings on earth surrounded by an extraordinary atmosphere which enclose their passion and ecstasy.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>177</sup> F. Bonaparte, *op. cit.*, p. 425.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>178</sup> T. Hardy, *Tess of the DøUrbervilles* cit., ch. L, p. 349.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>179</sup> M. R. Higonnet, op. cit., p. xxxi.

As Radford claimed, õ[Pater] describes ecstasy as a moment of intoxication when the body and matter of the physical world coalesceö<sup>180</sup> and Tess and Angel feel exactly this moment of intoxication while living in the dairy, feeling that their bodies and souls coincide.

A comparable experience of intoxication is perceived by Tessøs brothers and sisters when she baptizes Sorrow, a passage in which Hardy showed the greatness of Tess and reunites in her the spiritual and the aesthetic moment:

The ecstasy of faith almost apotheosized her; it set upon her face a glowing irradiation, and brought a red spot into the middle of each cheek; while the miniature candle-flame inverted in her eye-pupils shone like a diamond. The children gazed up at her with more and more reverence, and no longer had a will for questioning. She did not look like Sissy to them now, but as a being large, towering, and awful ó a divine personage with whom they had nothing in common.<sup>181</sup>

But a similar religious intoxication and ecstasy had already been described in Arnoldøs *Pagan and Mediaeval Religious Sentiment*, and it can be argued that Tess includes both the Greek õnoble and touching application [that] could lead the soul to elevating and consoling thoughtsö<sup>182</sup> and õthe sentiment of the religion of sorrowö<sup>183</sup>. The halos of sanctity and purity surrounds Tess and accompanies her throughout the whole novel. Tess is, according to Radford,  $\div$ a goddess figure of immense statureø<sup>184</sup> but she is not fit to survive in the modern world.

According to Hardyøs agnostic vision, heaven does not exist and neither providence nor divine justice are retraceable in this world: õGodøs *not* in his heaven: alløs *wrong* with the worldö<sup>185</sup> says Angel after Tessøs confession.

At the end Tess is sacrificed to the cruel laws of society and not to God, be it pagan or Christian, and she expects no heaven for her. As D.H. Lawrence stated, Hardyøs characters õwere not at war with God, only with societyö<sup>186</sup>.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>180</sup> A. Radford, *op. cit.*, p. 168.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>181</sup> T. Hardy, *Tess of the DøUrbervilles* cit., ch. XIV, p. 95.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>182</sup> M. Arnold, Pagan and Mediaeval Religious Sentiment, cit. p. 222.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>183</sup> *Ibidem*, p. 229.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>184</sup> A. Radford, *op. cit.*, p. 183.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>185</sup> T. Hardy, *Tess of the DøUrbervilles* cit., ch. XXXVII, p. 254.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>186</sup> D. H. Lawrence, *Thomas Hardy*, in *Thomas Hardy Critical Assessment* cit., vol. III, pp. 241-284, p. 250.

Chapter three *Jude the Obscure* 

## 3.1 Jude as The Scholar-Gypsy

*Jude the Obscure* was published in 1895 and it marked the end of Hardyøs career as a novelist. As it had happened with *Tess*, also *Jude* õgained immediately a scandalous notorietyö<sup>187</sup>, due to its direct attack on social conventions, on the marriage laws and especially, on religion. Moreover, the protagonists, Jude Fawley and Sue Bridehead, are characterized by a deep sense of unconventionality which leads them to fight against society.

Undoubtedly, Jude recalls some of Hardyø personal characteristics such as the sense of being excluded, the will of self-improvement and self-education and also õvarious character traitsö, according to Taylor, as õhis love of music, his romantic longing for the -well-belovedø, his desire to rise in the world, his responsiveness to misery. Judeøs loss of religious belief at the age of 25 corresponds to Hardyø own loss at that ageö<sup>188</sup>. Both Jude and Hardy work in the architectural field and they are never admitted to University. In addition Jude, as Hardy, for all his life returns to the Bible and Christianity, showing a profound sense of ambiguity towards religion.

Clearly Jude, being a tragic hero, is deeply alienated from society, a trait which leads him to say that õI am an outsider to the end of my days! ö<sup>189</sup>.

Moreover, Jude is a Romantic character, characterized by a fervid imagination and the pursuit of an -open-endedø dream which started in his childhood. He is also a wanderer, one of the most typical Romantic features. Jude, wanders through the country, in search of a practical application of his dream. And the first time he arrives at Christminster, the fictional representation of Oxford, Judeøs romantic imagination reaches its peak and he starts a fictitious conversation with the men of letters, philosophers, poets and scientists who had lived in Christminster-Oxford and who had influenced Jude in his studies:

Jude found himself speaking out loud, holding conversations with them, as it were, like an actor in a melodrama who apostrophizes the audience on the other side of the footlights; till he suddenly cease with a start at his absurdity. Perhaps those incoherent words of the wanderer were heard within the walls by

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>187</sup> M. R. Higonnet, *op.cit.*, p. xix.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>188</sup> D. Taylor, op. cit., p. xxiii.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>189</sup> T. Hardy, Jude the Obscure cit., pt. VI, ch. 1, p. 328.

some student or thinker over his lamp; and he may have raised his head, and wondered what voice it was, and what it betokened<sup>190</sup>.

This passage conveys the depth, and also the inconsistency, of Judeøs dream. But it also conveys the sense of tragedy attached to Jude and to his desire to raise himself and be a guide for human beings.

Moreover, Judeø romanticism is showed again in his relationship with Sue. Jude, as all Hardyø male protagonists do, idealizes Sue too much, elevating her to a status of divinity. Sue, who is not only an intellectual and modern woman but also a Shelleyan character, lives in a ideal world and õcould only live in the mindö<sup>191</sup> as D.H. Lawrence stated. According to Hassett, Sue, as Judeø dream, belong to a õpurely mental and spiritual state of beingö<sup>192</sup> and both will cause profound suffering and dissatisfaction in him.

Furthermore, Jude is a õproduct and hapless victim of Oxford cultureö<sup>193</sup>. The young Jude, who for the first time saw Christminster shining õin the limits of the stretch of landscapeö<sup>194</sup>, starts to call it a õheavenly Jerusalemö, connecting to the city of culture his dreams and life, believing that it is:

-The city of light, øhe said to himself.

:The tree of knowledge grows there, øhe added a few steps further on.

Ht is a place that teachers of men spring from and go to.ø

Ht is what you may call a castle, manned by scholarship and religion.

After this figure he was silent a long while, till he added:

It would just suit me.ø<sup>195</sup>

But all Judeøs invocations and beliefs are false because they are not rooted in everyday reality. Christminster will not suit Jude and his dreams, it will not be his Alma Mater and Jude cannot be its beloved son<sup>196</sup>. And it leads Jude to become a

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>190</sup> T. Hardy, Jude the Obscure, cit., pt. II, ch. 1, p. 81.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>191</sup> D. H. Lawrence, *Thomas Hardy*, in *Thomas Hardy Critical Assessment*, cit., vol. III, pp. 241- 284 p. 273.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>192</sup> M. E. Hassett, *Compromised Romanticism in Jude the Obscure*, õNineteenth-Century Fictionö, Vol. 25, No. 4 (Mar., 1971), pp. 432-443, p. 433.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>193</sup> Thomas Hardy, *Literary Notebooks*, quoted in A. Radford, op. cit., p. 189.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>194</sup> T. Hardy, Jude the Obscure, pt. I, ch. 3, p. 21.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>195</sup> *Ibidem*, pt. I, ch. 3, pp. 25-6.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>196</sup> Cf. *Ibidem*, pt. I, ch. 6, p.38.

bitter and drunken self-educated young man who is forced to leave continually houses and employments.

In this wandering and in his exclusion from colleges, Jude recalls the scholar protagonist of Matthew Arnoldøs elegiac poem *The Scholar-Gipsy* (1853).

The poem starts with a beautiful description of a  $\pm$ summerøs dayø in the fields, and the eye of the protagonist õtravels down to Oxfordøs towersö<sup>197</sup> as Judeøs eyes scout the horizon in search of Christminster. In the poem, Nature is described in all its season and characteristics, and it recalls obviously Hardyøs novels and his description of natural landscapes. However, in *Jude*, the presence of Nature is retraceable only at the beginning of the novel, in which a young Jude is depicted as a boy sympathetic and in harmony with the natural world and its inhabitants. As it has been argued for Tess, also Jude feels himself connected with Nature, as it is apparent in the episode with the rooks which he is supposed to scare:

They seemed, like himself, to be living in a world which did not want them. [...] -Poor little dears!ø said Jude, aloud. -You shall have some dinner ó you shall. There is enough for us all. [...] They stayed and ate, inky spots on the nut-brown soil, and Jude enjoyed their appetite. A magic thread of fellow-feeling united his own life with theirs. Puny and sorry as those lives were, they much resembled his own.<sup>198</sup>

Throughout the whole novel Jude retains his trait of communion with Nature, as well as the scholar in the poem feels in harmony with nature, shepherds and the õboys who in lone wheatfields scare the rooksö<sup>199</sup>.

But the story of *The Scholar-gipsy* is centred around a poor scholar of õquick inventive brainö<sup>200</sup> who leaves Oxford and departs with a gipsy-crew to learn their culture and then impart it to the world<sup>201</sup>. The scholar-gipsy has õone aim, one business, one desireö<sup>202</sup>, and similarly, Jude desires to õbecome a prophet, however humble, to his struggling fellow-creatures, without any thought of personal gainö<sup>203</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>197</sup> M. Arnold, *The Scholar Gipsy*, in *The Poetical Works of Matthew Arnold*, ed. C.B. Tinker and H.F. Lowry, London, Oxford University Press, (1950), p 256, l. 30.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>198</sup> T. Hardy, Jude the Obscure, cit., pt. I, ch. 2, p. 15.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>199</sup> M. Arnold, *The Scholar Gipsy*, cit. p. 257, l. 64.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>200</sup> *Ibidem*, p. 256, l. 34.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>201</sup> Cf. *Ibidem*, p. 256, ll. 48-9.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>202</sup> *Ibidem*, p. 259, l. 152.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>203</sup> T. Hardy, Jude the Obscure, cit. pt. IV, ch. 3, p. 217.

which was also Angeløs desire and the ultimate scope of the intellectual man in *Culture and Anarchy*.

The scholar-gipsy, as Jude, is excluded from the standard culture and from the Universities of Oxford-Christminster, which Matthew Arnold described as the -home of lost causes  $\alpha$  Interestingly, as reported by Radford, Saint Jude is exactly the -patron of the lost causes  $\alpha^{204}$ , an appropriate name for a character who continually follows an unreachable idea and who symbolically recalls a saint or a martyr.

In his wanderings with the gipsy, the protagonist of Arnoldøs poem sees the harshness but also the energy of Nature and the world. Moreover, he learns the suffering, the joy and hope of human beings, and he continually pursues his dream õwaiting for the spark from heaven to fallö<sup>205</sup>. Instead Jude, in his wandering through the country in search of home and work, learns and experiences only the harshness and cruelty of human beings, he is constantly falling in his weakness, i.e. alcohol and women, and he starts to lose faith and hope. Nevertheless, Jude becomes a skilled stonemason, he learns Latin and he reads religious writings and under Sueøs influence he learns also poetry and modern prose. Jude is a self-taught man who has always been regarded as strange but also admirable by his fellow-human beings.

When he returns to Christminster with Sue and the children, Jude is recognized by his pub companions who a few years before incited him to recite the Creed in Latin. Now, Jude, who is at the centre of the general curiosity, starts a monologue about his misfortunes in life stimulated by his disquieted state of mind. Despite the contrasts and the invitation of Sue to calm down, Jude amuses the crowd, with a sermon-like discourse which ends with a quotation from the Ecclesiastes. At the end of the monologue, Tinker Taylor, Judeøs former friend, utters

Well Preached!ø said Tinker Taylor. And privately to his neighbours: Why, one of them jobbing pa@sons swarming about here, that takes the services when our head Reverends want a holiday, wouldnøt haø discoursed such doctrine for less than a guinea down? Hey? Iøll take my oath not one oø em would! And then he must have had it wrote down for øn. And this only a working man!<sup>206</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>204</sup> A. Radford, *op. cit.* p. 188.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>205</sup> M. Arnold, *The Scholar Gipsy*, cit. p. 258, l. 120.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>206</sup> T. Hardy, Jude the Obscure, cit. pt. VI, ch. 1, p. 327.

Nevertheless, Jude always remains a poor working man. All his dreams are shattered by the social conventions which oppose his aspirations.

Judeøs disappointment with the academic world starts when he received a response from one of the Masters of the Colleges:

Sir, - I have read your letter with interest; and judging from your description of yourself as a working-man, I venture to think that you will have a much better chance of success in life by remaining in your own sphere and sticking to your trade. [...]

This terribly sensible advice exasperated Jude. He had known all that before. He knew it was true.<sup>207</sup>

During a drinking night in the pub with Uncle Joe, Tinker Taylor and some undergraduates, it is exactly Tinker Taylor who says to Jude that õI always saw there was more to be learnt outside a book than inö<sup>208</sup> which is precisely what Arnoldøs scholar-gipsy learns in his wanderings.

In fact, the narrator of the poem describes the life of the Scholar as particular and different from the life of the Oxford students and scholars:

Because thou hadst ó what we, alas! Have not. For early didst thou leave the world, with powers Fresh, undiverted to the world without, Firm to their mark, not spent on other things; Free from the sick fatigue, the languid doubt, Which much to have tried, in much been baffled, brings. O life unlike to ours!<sup>209</sup>

But what incites the scholar-gipsy to depart from Oxford is an -onward impulseø which is typical of modern times and it is exactly -the ache of modernismødescribed by Hardy in his novels.

Both the scholar-gipsy and Jude suffer from the õdisease of modern lifeö<sup>210</sup> which Hardy defined in Jude as õthe modern vice of unrestö<sup>211</sup>. For both Hardy and Arnold

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>207</sup> T. Hardy, Jude the Obscure, cit. pt. II, ch. 6, p. 117.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>208</sup> *Ibidem*, pt. II, ch. 7, p. 120.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>209</sup> M. Arnold, *The Scholar Gipsy*, cit. pp. 259-60 ll. 160-6.

this modern disease leads to social unrest, to continuous escape, and it is characterized by a õsick hurry and divided aimsö<sup>212</sup>. For Hardy, however, this disease of the modern spirit is something always destructive which leads to õsocial restlessnessö<sup>213</sup> and make people unhappy. Moreover, as DeLaura stated, Hardy concentrated more on õthe painful exigencies of modernism, its human cost, and not on its liberating effectsö<sup>214</sup>.

Hardy did not propose solutions for the modern sickness, while Arnold proposed a model of culture for the perfection of man in order to avoid the instability of the modern world, even if in *The Scholar-Gipsy*, he mourned for an intellectual condition which seems lost forever, due to *-*this strange disease of modern lifeø However, as it has been previously argued, Arnold believed that the perfection of man and the balance between the two forces of the world, i.e. *-*Hellenismø and *-*Hebraismø was still possible as argued in *Culture and Anarchy*.

Hardy, instead, described a number of characters who suffer from the disease of modern life and who try, with useless efforts, to escape from the mechanization of the world. However, Hardy searched for a õfreer and more personal moralityö<sup>215</sup> but he, and his characters, had to learn õto accept the ache of modern dislocationö<sup>216</sup>. Hardy tried to describe this new morality as a profound understanding between men and women which is detached from the dogmatism of Christianity and its false piety. The strong attack on Christianity and society is at the core of *Jude* and it shows how human beings ÷over-evolvedø as Jude and Sue, are incapable of living outside the social boundaries and the conventions dictated by late-Victorian society and religion. The restlessness of modern characters and the incompatibility with the rules of society lead the protagonists of Hardyøs novels to fall on conventionality and dogmatism, as it happens with Angel and Sue, or to feel the õuniversal wish not to liveö<sup>217</sup> as it happens with Jude, who at the end of the novel, curses the day he was born and prays God to let him perish escaping finally from his passion and his -misery of mindø

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>210</sup> *Ibidem*, p. 261, l. 203.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>211</sup> T. Hardy, *Jude the Obscure*, cit. pt. II, ch. 2, p. 85.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>212</sup> M. Arnold, The Scholar Gipsy, cit., p. 261, l. 204.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>213</sup> T. Hardy, Jude the Obscure cit., pt. VI, ch. 1, p. 327.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>214</sup> DeLaura, The Ache of Modernism in Hardyøs Later Novels cit, p. 396.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>215</sup> *Ibidem*, p. 399.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>216</sup> Ivi.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>217</sup> T. Hardy, Jude the Obscure, cit., pt. VI, ch. 2, p. 337.

Jude the Obscure is a novel characterized by contrasts, as Hardy stated:

Of course the book is all contrasts - or was meant to be in its original conception. Alas, what a miserable accomplishment it is! - e.g., Sue and her heathen gods set against Jude's reading the Greek testament; Christminister academical, Christminister in the slums; Jude the saint, Jude the sinner; Sue the Pagan, Sue the saint; marriage, no marriage; etc. etc.<sup>218</sup>

But, the fundamental contrast is between Sueøs Hellenism and Judeøs Hebraism at the beginning of the novel. This dichotomy will be reversed after the tragedy which falls upon them, and which leads to Sueøs return to conventionality and self-denial and Judeøs complete rejection of Christianity.

When Jude reaches Christminster, the most Christian city in the country¢ he already knows that Sue lives there and that she is, like him, fond of books. Moreover, according to a familiar superstition, they share also the misfortune in love and marriage. Despite the advice and warnings of Aunt Drusilla, Jude meets Sue and immediately falls in love with her, a modern and tantalising young woman.

Sue is described as õlight and slightö, õmobileö, and õall nervous motionö<sup>219</sup>. For Jude õshe remained more or less and ideal characterö<sup>220</sup>. She is an ethereal being, all nerves, capable of the highest moments of ecstasy. As Heilman argued:

Sue takes the book away from the title character, because she is stronger, more complex, and more significant, and because her contradictory impulses, creating a spontaneous air of the inexplicable and even the mysterious, are dramatized with extraordinary fullness and concreteness.<sup>221</sup>

Not only the novel is all contrasts but also Sueøs character is distinguishable for its alternation between a quiet intellectual person and an all-nerves girl. At the beginning she follows the principles of Hellenic life, rejecting Christian dogmas and

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>218</sup> Thomas Hardy quoted in B. N. Schwartz, *Jude the Obscure in the Age of Anxiety*, õStudies in English Literature, 1500-1900ö, Vol. 10, No. 4, (Autumn, 1970), pp. 793-804, p. 795.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>219</sup> T. Hardy, *Jude the Obscure*, cit., pt. II, ch. 3, p. 90.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>220</sup> *Ibidem*, pt. II, ch. 3, p. 89.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>221</sup> R.B. Heilman, Hardyøs Sue Bridehead, in Thomas Hardy Critical Assessment cit., vol. III, pp. 211-224, p. 211.

Victorian conventionalities. But she is an incomplete Hellenic character because she refuses the pleasures of life being only a theoretical person.

Moreover, she can be described also as a Romantic and ideal object of desire, in fact she is a Shelleyan character, ethereal and aerial, who refuses sex and flesh and she can be comparable also to Keatsø *Belle Dame Sans Merci*, because she, as Heilman explained, is:

leaving men not  $\frac{1}{2}$  palely loitering øbut worse off than that: of the three men who have desired her, one finally has her but only as a shuddering sacrificial victim, and the other two die of  $\frac{1}{2}$  consumption  $\phi^{222}$ 

In her behaviour towards Jude and even Phillotson she is at the same time kind and gentle and sometimes harsh and selfish; at times she needs support and at times she is diffident. She refuses sex and marriage but she wants Jude to love her tenderly.

The contrasts in her behaviour are clearly visible also in her attitude towards life. At Christminster, she works for an ecclesiastic establishment but she prefers to worship Greek divinities. In Part Second, Chapter III, during an afternoon¢ holiday, she purchases two little statues, one of Venus and one of Apollo, and this little adventure leads her to cry õWell, anything is better than those everlasting church fal-lals!ö<sup>223</sup>. The same night, she õunrobed the divinities in comfortö<sup>224</sup> and begins to read Gibbon and she quotes Swinburne¢s *Hymn to Proserpine*, one of the most influential poem of the Hellenic revival. But, notwithstanding her deep admiration for Hellenic culture, Sue is afraid of her enterprise and she constantly blames herself for her extravagancy and peculiarities.

Moreover, she continually quotes from Shelley, and she even asks Jude to describe her as the Being in Shelley¢s *Epipsychidion*: õA seraph of Heaven, too gentle to be human / Veiling beneath that radiant form of woman.ö<sup>225</sup>. Jude recognizes in the lines the description of Sue but this passage confirms again her inconsistency as if she is a heavenly creature and, furthermore, this request of Sue shows also her need to be courted and her coquette traits.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>222</sup> *Ibidem*, p. 215-6.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>223</sup> T. Hardy, Jude the Obscure, cit. pt. II, ch. 3, p. 94.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>224</sup> *Ibidem*, pt. II ch. 3 p. 95.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>225</sup> *Ibidem*, pt. IV, ch. 6, pp. 244-5.

Nevertheless, she is also an intellectual woman, who has studied and read even more books than Jude and who possesses an acute critical sense. She is independent and unconventional. She had lived with a undergraduate at Christminster, who taught her a great deal<sup>226</sup>. She has õno fear of men, as such, nor of their books. I have mixed with themö<sup>227</sup>, and she stays innocent, sexless and philosophical.

Nevertheless, through Judeøs intercession, she meets Phillotson and starts to work as his assistant at school. Soon enough, Phillotson falls in love with her, and Sue, under a feeling of obligation, accepts his proposal. But, meanwhile she has fallen in love with Jude, and in an impetuous moment she even escapes from the school. After the marriage with Phillotson, she realises that her happiness can only be with Jude. Even if this is a passionate decision, she justifies and explains her reasons appealing to her intellectual hero, John Stuart Mill. And she especially cites from *On Liberty* when she leaves Phillotson:

 $\Rightarrow$ She, or he, õwho lets the world, or his own portion of it, choose his plan of life for him, has no need of any other faculty than the ape-like one of imitation.ö J.S. Milløs words, those are. Why canøt you act upon them? *I wish to*, *always.*ø<sup>228</sup> [italics mine]

Although she truly believes in what she says, as she explains to Phillotson and to Jude, at the same time she always fears and regrets her decisions, and she will not always follow Milløs words. She rejects convention but the duality in her spirit will cause her breakdown. And as John Stuart Mill in real life faced his personal crisis finding a therapy in poetry, Sue is not able to find a cure or therapy for her suffering<sup>229</sup> and at the end she falls into customs and tradition, as it had previously happened to Angel in *Tess*.

The major difference between Sue and Jude is exactly their vision of the world. In one of the exchanges of opinions between Sue and Phillotson, she states:  $\tilde{o}a$  fancy we have had enough of Jerusalem, øshe said, ÷considering we are not descended from the Jews. There was nothing first-rate about the place, or people, after all ó as there

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>226</sup> Cf. *Ibidem*, pt. III, ch. 4, p. 148.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>227</sup> *Ibidem*, pt. III, ch. 4, p. 147.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>228</sup> *Ibidem*, pt. IV, ch. 3, p. 223.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>229</sup> Cf. R.B. Heilman, *op. cit.*, p. 220.

was about Athens, Rome, Alexandria, and other old cities. $\phi$ <sup>230</sup>. Phillotson does not agree with her, and tells her that even her cousin Jude õ-doesnøt think we have had enough of Jerusalem! $\phi$ <sup>231</sup>.

Jude, in fact,  $\pm$ has taken Christianity $\phi^{232}$  and he can be seen also as a Biblical figure or, even Christ, and tries to follow a strict conduct of morality linked to Arnoldøs definition of Hebraism, while Sue searches for a restoration of Pagan sentiments.

In Part Fifth, Chapter V, Jude and Sue go to Great Wessex Agricultural Show and Sue feels that õwe have returned to Greek joyousness, and have blinded ourselves to sickness and sorrow, and have forgotten what twenty-five centuries have taught the race since their time, as one of your Christminster luminaries saysö<sup>233</sup>. One of these luminaries is exactly Matthew Arnold, who in *Pagan and Mediaeval Religious Sentiment* defined the opposition between Greek joy and the religion of sorrow, i.e. Christianity. Sue and Jude want to live according to their own ideals, conducting a life õcheerful, sensuous and paganö which õis not sick or sorryö<sup>234</sup>.

Sue is undoubtedly an Hellenic character, portrayed with õaerial easeö and  $\tilde{o}$ clearnessö<sup>235</sup> and she sees the õthings in their essence and beautyö<sup>236</sup> but she is also contaminated by the õpresent unsettled state so full of the seeds of troubleö<sup>237</sup>, namely the modern vice of unrest, as well as Jude.

Matthew Arnold in *Culture and Anarchy* advocated for a communion of the two forces of the world, Hellenism and Hebraism, which both lead to the perfection of man. In *Jude*, the harmony between Jude and Sue, which represents the two forces, is a representation of the perfection of intellectual human beings. But, if Arnold believed that this perfection would be possible, Hardy instead, described a social world which condemned and excluded Jude and Sue, and especially their way of living.

The classical element of the sins of the fathers falling upon the children which activated the tragedy in *Tess*, is present also in *Jude* and regards their refusal of marriage. Being excluded by a society which does not understand their companionship, Sue and Jude have to face poverty. The tragedy reaches its peak after

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>230</sup> T. Hardy, Jude the Obscure, cit., pt. II, ch. 5, p. 106.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>231</sup> Ivi.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>232</sup> Cf. *Ibidem*, pt. III, ch. 4, p. 153.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>233</sup> *Ibidem*, pt. V, ch. 5, p. 297.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>234</sup> M. Arnold, Pagan and Mediaeval Religious Sentiment, cit., p. 222.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>235</sup> Arnold, *Culture and Anarchy*, cit., p. 99.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>236</sup> *Ibidem*, p. 100.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>237</sup> Ibidem, pp. 106-7

a misunderstanding between Sue and Little Father Time, which provokes the death of all the children. From this moment onwards, Sue estranges herself from Jude, and, as Hassett argued, õshe sacrifices her free will to Godö<sup>238</sup>. Instead Jude, becomes even more bitter and careless of conventions. Their aspiration towards an higher life is drastically brought to an end by what Hardy considered õthe inexorable laws of natureö<sup>239</sup>.

Sue, blaming herself for the death of her children, forces her body to surrender to Phillotson, returning to the conventionality of marriage and to a mood of self-denial typical of Christianity. At the end, for Sue, Hellenism is false as well as any other religion or beliefs but she finds a refuge in self-denial.

On the contrary, Jude, after the childrenøs tragedy blames God and he falls in a vicious circle of alcohol and in a relationship with Arabella. As Sue loses her Hellenism, Jude does not follow anymore the strictness of conduct of Hebraism and its beliefs.

Jude, similarly to Hebraism which is the force which ruled the world since Hellenism faded and which is rooted in Christianity, aims at õself-conquest and rescue from the thrall of vile affections, not by obedience to the letter of a law, but by conformity to the image of a self-sacrificing exampleö<sup>240</sup> and, moreover, õHebraism speaks of becoming conscious of sin, awakening to a sense of sinö<sup>241</sup>.

In harmony with Arnoldøs definitions, Jude follows the higher example of selfsacrifice, i.e. Jesus, and he is always well aware of his sins. Furthermore, he also tries to obey to the letter of the law, but as the subtitle of *Jude* informs the reader, -the letter killethø However, it is difficult to define which is the law that killed Jude, as Taylor wittily argued, because there are many candidates, from the social conventions, to the religious code and also the university rules. All this social structures however are dominated by the other characteristic of Hebraism, i.e. the strictness of consciousness, which can lead to harshness and Puritanism. What Hardy tried to do with his critiques of the social structures is to find an substitute to a rigorous and restricted way of living. And, according to Taylor,

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>238</sup> M.E. Hassett, op. cit., p. 442.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>239</sup> T. Hardy, Jude the Obscure cit., pt. III, ch. 3, p. 141.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>240</sup> Arnold, *Culture and Anarchy* cit., p. 101.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>241</sup> *Ibidem*, p. 100.

Hardy attacked the ways that religion, and any other system, can become a letter of the law that kills; and yet he repeatedly returns to the Pauline formula which makes Charity supreme as the norm by which all else is measured. [...] suffering and mercy are Hardyøs two great principles, and they stand as his great late Victorian alternatives to the Letters that Kill.<sup>242</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>242</sup> D. Taylor, *op. cit.* p. xxxiii.

## 3.3 Jude the Obscureøs symbolism and modernity

*Jude the Obscure* is a highly symbolical novel. Besides Jude and Sue and their emblematic meaning, the other prominent characters, as Mr. Phillotson, Arabella and Little Father Time, have a symbolical connotation attached to their appearance.

Furthermore, the theme of the struggle of survival, which was already present in *Tess* of the  $D\phi Urbervilles$ , is useful to understand the outcome of the novel. In fact, according to Holloway, õthe central train of events demands description in Darwinian terms: organism, environment, struggle, adaptation, fertility, survival, resistanceö<sup>243</sup>.

Moreover, as it was previously argued, *Jude* is a novel of contrasts and it shows the divergences between past and present in an unsettled and changing world. Although this theme is not so prominent as it is in *Tess*, it is possible to observe in *Jude* some traces of a fading past and of the folklore traditions which influence the characters, for instance the curse that falls on the Fawley family or the love filters of Physician Vilbert. Also the survival of the medieval architecture of Christminster and its traditions can be seen, according to Radford, as a survival of a  $\exists$ medievalizing Catholicismø<sup>244</sup> which is dangerous for Jude, who contributes to its survival through his work as stonemason, as well as for Sue.

However, if Jude and Sue have ideas that are õfifty years too soon to be any goodö<sup>245</sup> to them, other characters, as Arabella, have learned how to survive in the modern world. Also Phillotson is shown as a character who struggles between advanced ideas and conventionality.

At the beginning of the novel Phillotson is presented as the intellectual guide and hero of the young Jude and the one who inspires in the protagonist the dream of being a scholar in Christminster. In fact, Phillotson in Chapter One is leaving Marygreen in order to live in Christminster and be a -university graduate and then to be ordained $\phi^{246}$ . But when Jude reaches Christminster he discovers that Phillotson has failed and he did not become a graduate. He has maintained his occupation as a school-master and is comfortable in his present position<sup>247</sup>.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>243</sup> J. Holloway quoted in B. N. Schwartz, op. cit., p. 796.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>244</sup> A. Radford, *op. cit.*, p. 192.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>245</sup> T. Hardy, Jude the Obscure cit., pt. VI, ch. 10, p. 400.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>246</sup> Cf. *Ibidem*, pt. I, ch. 1, p. 10.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>247</sup> Cf. *Ibidem*, pt. II, ch. 4, p. 102.

Phillotson is not the ideal lover or dreamer typical of Hardyøs novels<sup>248</sup>, he is more of a practical man, who tries to break the rules of society but at the same time he is incapable of strong decisions. As his name recalls, he is a Phillistine, the middle class man, and even if he is not an õenemy of the children of lightö<sup>249</sup>, as Arnold defined the middle class, he never seeks for human perfection and he quickly abandons his dream of intellectual raising. As Holland Jr. stated: õ-Philistineø may also be taken in Arnold's sense, as the conventional middle-class person who oppresses the artist ó Sueö<sup>250</sup>.

Nevertheless, he possesses altruistic characteristics that lead him to help both Jude and Sue, especially in the first parts of the novel.

But he soon falls in love with Sue and he is highly influenced by the young woman and her vigorous ideas. Even if Phillotson knows that he could not be Sueøs soul mate and companion, and he understands the strong feelings between the young cousins, his desire and need of having Sue as his wife is so strong that despite the unfavourable conditions he marries her anyway. Phillotson soon realizes Sueøs unhappiness. He is also able to understand Sueøs desire for freedom and even her repulsion for him. Suppressing his own feelings and conventionality, he gives to Sue the permission of reaching Jude and living as she likes.

As he states to his friend Gillingham:

-Their supreme desire is to be together  $\delta$  to share each other  $\phi$  emotions, and fancies, and dreams. $\phi$ 

-Platonic!

 $\div$ Well no. Shelleyan would be nearer to it. They remind me of Laon and Cynthia. Also Paul and Virginia a little. The more I reflect, the more entirely I am on their side! $g^{251}$ 

Phillotson knows that his decision to let Sue free will bring to him difficulties and that the society will blame him, but his love for Sue and the effectiveness of her arguments convinces and upholds his mind. With Phillotsonøs generous action of letting Sue go, Hardy showed the schoolmasterøs kindness and moral integrity.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>248</sup> Cf. J.C Dave, *The Human Predicament in Hardyøs Novels*, London, Macmillan, 1985, p. 130.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>249</sup> M. Arnold, *Culture and Anarchy*, cit. p. 75.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>250</sup> N. Holland Jr., *Jude the Obscure: Hardyøs Symbolic Indictment of Christianity*, õNineteenth-Century Fictionö, Vol. 9, No. 1 (Jun., 1954), pp. 50-60, p. 52.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>251</sup> T. Hardy, Jude the Obscure, cit., pt. IV, ch. 4,. 231.

Phillotson even stands against the impositions of society, and he refuses to send his resignation, justifying his decision as right in the sight of Heaven and his act as one of natural charity which does not harm anyone or injure morals<sup>252</sup>. Nevertheless, he is obliged to leave the school after a period of rumours and misunderstandings which result in a scuffle and a melancholic illness for Phillotson<sup>253</sup>.

When he meets Arabella, she informs him of Jude and Sueøs conditions; he is stressed and he starts to regret his decision, due also to the influence of Arabellaøs words. He remains firm in his opinions about Sueøs freedom, but he soon begins to feel that õhe would be gratified to have her again as hisö<sup>254</sup>. Phillotson wants to restore a companionship with Sue, because he sees the possibility of õacquire some comfort, resume his old courses, perhaps return to the Shaston School, if not even to the Church itself as a licentiateö<sup>255</sup>. He becomes *He* enemy of lightø seeing only his advantages and he rejects what he has considered õa principle of justice, charity, and reasonö<sup>256</sup>.

When Sue returns, after the childrenøs death and Phillotsonøs proposal of taking her back, he accepts her, without remorse or second thoughts, breaking the rules of human compassion which are so important in Hardyøs world. He returns to the conventionality of the roles of marriage, incapable of understanding Sueøs suffering and selfannihilation.

At the end although Phillotson¢s behaviour seems opportunist, the real calculating and opportunistic character in the novel is Arabella. Comparable to Alec in *Tess*, Arabella is a sexual character, who lives according to her needs and moreover, she is capable of taking and exploiting what it is necessary in every moment of her life. But if Alec is a Barbarian, Arabella can be seen as part of the Populace, incapable of elevating herself and living according the meanness of her instincts. She is õraw and half-developedö<sup>257</sup> and she lacks the õspirit of indulgence which is a necessary part of sweetnessö<sup>258</sup>.

Moreover, Arabella is linked with images of brutal animality, and she is associated with pigs and with alcohol, especially through her work as barmaid. She continually takes and leaves her husbands, Jude and Cartlett, according to the best opportunity of the moment. Unlike Sue, Arabella is described as

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>252</sup> Cf. *Ibidem*, pt. IV, ch. 6, p. 247.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>253</sup> Cf. *Ibidem*, pt. IV, ch. 6, p. 248.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>254</sup> *Ibidem*, pt. VI, ch. 6, p. 358.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>255</sup> Ivi.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>256</sup> *Ibidem*, pt. VI, ch. 6, p. 359.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>257</sup> M. Arnold, *Culture and Anarchy*, cit., p. 78.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>258</sup> *Ibidem*, pp. 78-9.

a fine dark-eyed girl, not exactly handsome, but capable of passing as such at a little distance, despite some coarseness of skin and fibre. She had a round and prominent bosom, full lips, perfect teeth, and the rich complexion of a Cochin henøs egg. She was a complete and substantial female human<sup>259</sup>.

Initially she entangles Jude and forces him to marry her under the presumption of her pregnancy. But, being an earthly and common woman, Arabella despises Judeøs dream of being an intellectual and continually criticises him for his incapability in the domestic work. Arabella fits only the sexual part of Jude, while Sue fits his soul and mind, i.e. Judeøs higher side<sup>260</sup>.

After few months of bad marriage Arabella leaves Jude and emigrates to Australia, leaving him free to pursuit his dream. When they meet again in Christminster, Jude finds Arabella working as a barmaid in the tavern where he had recited the Creed in Latin. Here, Jude learns that she has legally married a man in Australia and then she returned to England to work. Notwithstanding his appointment with Sue, Jude succumbs to his passion, alcohol and women, and spends the night with Arabella. Theirs is not a tender encounter and the morning after they part again.

Arabella often returns in Judeøs life, especially in the crucial moments of the novel.

She reappears in a desperate mood in Chapter II of Part Fifth, delivering to Jude the news of Little Father Times existence and begging him to accept the child.

Lately, Arabella sees, or rather spies, Jude and Sue at the Wessex Agricultural Show, an episode in which all her jealousy explodes in spite of the presence of her husband Cartlett. As her friend Anny notices, Arabella wants always another man than her own<sup>261</sup>. In Arabella, in fact, the unrest of the modern woman is shown through her sexuality and perpetual wandering from man to man. But she lives through all the changes in her life without identity crisis or suffering. As she states at the end of the novel, while Jude is on his death-bed:

Well! Weak woman must provide for a rainy day. And if my poor fellow upstairs do go off ó as I suppose he will soon ó itøs well to keep chances open. And I canøt

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>259</sup> T. Hardy, *Jude the Obscure*, cit., pt. I, ch, 6, p. 39.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>260</sup> Cf. Holland Jr., *op. cit.*, p. 52.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>261</sup> Cf. T. Hardy, Jude the Obscure, cit., pt. V, ch. 5, p. 293.

pick and choose now as I could when I was younger. And one must take the old if one can¢t get the young<sup>262</sup>.

It is exactly in the episode of Judeøs death that Arabella reveals all her indifference and lack of compassion towards the protagonist, a feature that she has shown from the beginning of the novel, in the pig-killing episode or in the discard of Little Father Time. She is dominated by a non-vital energy; she is an artificial modern product who knows the exact rules and ploys necessary to survive in the world.

But a sheer product of modernity is embodied in the most symbolic character in the novel, Little Father Time. Rejected by his mother, he is sent to Jude and Sue, who welcome him with love and compassion.

Little Father Time is a complex child, described for the first time as õAge masquerading as Juvenilityö but õdoing it so badly that his real self showed through crevicesö<sup>263</sup>. He has frightened eyes and ideas of life different from the common boys, he has õbegun with the generals of lifeö<sup>264</sup> which were cruelty and indifference in his world.

In Little Father Time, Jude sees the possibility of repairing to his own failings, in fact, he exclaims to Sue: õI have an idea! Weøll educate and train him with a view to the University. What I couldnøt accomplish in my own person perhaps I can carry out through him?ö<sup>265</sup>. To this suggestion Sue answers only õO you dreamer!ö<sup>266</sup>. Little Father Time is certainly a child of high intelligence and perspicacity but his parentøs circumstances make the realization of Judeøs plan impossible, rendering it a mere dream. But, undoubtedly, Little Father Time finds immediately in Jude and Sue an unconditioned love and affection which he has lacked in Australia.

Nevertheless, Little Father Time shares with his father the thoughtfulness of a child who õhas felt the pricks of life somewhat before his timeö<sup>267</sup> and who knows that he lives in a world which did not want him. As the young Jude, also Little Father Time wishes he had not been born, a feature which is, however, much more rooted in the child. More than once he repeats to Sue, during their wanderings in search of lodgings, that he ought not have been born and he is stressed by the adversities and poverty that they have to face. He soon realises that sometimes children are a problem for the society that

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>262</sup> *Ibidem*, pt. VI, ch. 10, p. 401.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>263</sup> *Ibidem*, pt. V, ch. 3, p. 276.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>264</sup> *Ibidem*, pt. V, ch. 3, p. 278.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>265</sup> *Ibidem*, pt. V, ch. 3, pp. 278-9

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>266</sup> Ivi.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>267</sup> *Ibidem*, pt. I, ch. 1, p. 11.

surrounds them and their family. And Sueøs self-pitying words do not help and comfort the child. As a matter of fact, Sueøs complaints enhance Little Father Timeøs melancholic and sensitive mood which leads him to say:

And what makes it worse with me is that you are not my real mother, and you neednøt have had me unless you liked. I oughtnøt to have come to -ee ó thatøs the real truth! I troubled -em in Australia, and I trouble folk her. I wish I hadnøt been born!<sup>268</sup>

Incapable of enduring the adversities of life, he commits the atrocious murder of his siblings and then he hangs himself, leaving only a note saying  $\delta Done$  because we are too menny $\ddot{o}^{269}$ . For Sue the children¢s death is a punishment of Fate, because she and Jude have followed their natural instincts in a society that have thwarted the joyfulness of love and Nature<sup>270</sup> and then, she starts to blame herself.

But, as the doctor stated, it was in Little Father Timeøs nature to do it. He says that:

there are such boys springing up amongst us ó boys of a sort unknown in the last generation ó the outcome of new views of life. They seem to see all its terrors before they are old enough to have staying power to resist them. [...] it is the beginning of *the coming universal wish not to live*<sup>271</sup>. [italics mine]

He has inherited Judeøs thoughtfulness and Arabellaøs non-vital energy, and these features in the little child are amplified by the cruelty and violence of modern life. As Tess and Jude, he is not fit to survive in this world.

Symbolically, Little Father Timeøs murder-suicide can be seen also as Christ crucifixion. He hangs between his two siblings, and Sueøs weeping recalls Maryøs crying at Christøs cross<sup>272</sup>. Moreover, the tragedy happens in Christminster, the -heavenly Jerusalemø of Jude. Of course, this episode is a grotesque parody of the crucifixion of Christ. The sacrifice of Little Father Time is completely useless and leads to nothing, except suffering and the disruption of Jude and Sueøs relation.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>268</sup> *Ibidem*, pt. VI, ch. 2, p. 333.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>269</sup> *Ibidem*, pt. VI, ch. 2, p. 336.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>270</sup> Cf. *Ibidem*, pt. VI, ch. 2, p. 339.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>271</sup> *Ibidem*, pt. VI, ch. 2, p. 337.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>272</sup> Cf. Holland Jr. op. cit., p. 53.

If this symbolical interpretation is accepted, it is possible to say that, as Holland Jr. stated:

The Christian allegory introduces Hardyøs conception of fate as well as his criticism of society: Christøs sacrifice was an attempt, like all other aspirations, to overcome the grinding down of fate on humans, and, like all other aspirations, failed<sup>273</sup>.

But not only Christianity and Christ have failed. Suess return to conventionality, as previously Angelss rejection of Hellenism, demonstrated that also Paganism is unstable and it is destroyed by the violence of life. Accordingly, also Judess aspiration failed for the same reason.

In *Jude the Obscure* no one is innocent, and no one is capable of elevating and being the prophet of the modern civilization. The perfect union of Hellenism and Hebraism advocated by Arnold, that leads to õthe enlarging of our whole view and rule of lifeö<sup>274</sup>, which is the one thing needful, according to Arnold, in the õpresent unsettled stateö<sup>275</sup>, and which is represented in Hardyøs Sue and Jude õcomplete mutual understandingö which õmade them almost the two parts of a single wholeö<sup>276</sup> is not possible in Hardyøs world because, in the end, the õseeds of troubleö<sup>277</sup> described by Arnold prevail in modern civilization. Even if Jude tries to be a prophet, an elevated and educated man, he has to, as Radford claimed, õfully awaken from his enthralment to dreams of -highø Christminster culture into prosaic concrete history, the Arnoldian -Iron Timeøö<sup>278</sup>, namely the time of õdoubts, disputes, distractions, fearsö<sup>279</sup>.

More explicit than in *Tess*, the struggle for survival in *Jude* is harsh and its tragedy seems a lament that, according to Schwartz, leads to õmourn manøs condition, evoking sadness and regretö<sup>280</sup>.

Curiously, in *Jude* only Arabella and Phillotson, the two characters who lack Hardyøs essential conditions, i.e. human compassion and natural charity, are able to survive or at least they are able to resist the adversities of society and they lead an adequate life,

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>273</sup> *Ibidem*, p. 58.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>274</sup> M. Arnold, *Culture and Anarchy*, cit., p. 106.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>275</sup> *Ibidem*, p. 106-7.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>276</sup> T. Hardy, Jude the Obscure, cit., pt. V, ch. 5, p. 292.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>277</sup> M. Arnold, *Culture and Anarchy*, cit., p. 107.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>278</sup> A. Radford, *op. cit.*, p. 203.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>279</sup> M. Arnold, Memorial Verses 1850, in The Poetical Works of Matthew Arnold cit., p. 289.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>280</sup> Schwartz, op. cit., p. 803

maybe because they are, as Huxley stated, õthe survival of those forms which, on the whole, are best adapted, to the conditions which at any period obtain; and which are, therefore, in that respect, and only in that respect, the fittestö<sup>281</sup>.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>281</sup> T.H. Huxley, *Prolegomena* in *Evolution and Ethics and Other Essays* cit., p. 4.

Chapter four *The Well-Beloved* 

## 4.1 Neo-Platonism and Aestheticism

Hardy, after the publication of *Jude the Obscure* and the subsequent negative critiques, meditated to abandon novel-writing in order to write only poetry. But, in 1897, Hardy decided to publish *The Well-Beloved* in form of a novel, rewriting the last chapters of the *Pursuit of the Well-Beloved*, serialized in 1892.

*The Well-Beloved* is a strange fable-novel on the artistic process and on the aesthetic experience, but it is also a novel full of irony. It was received negatively or condescendingly as one of Hardyøs fantastic and idiosyncratic novels. Moreover for many years it was considered by scholars as a minor novel. However, Hardy considered his last novel as an experiment or a little fancy and he answered to the negative response with the caustic irony which characterized him.

As already claimed, in *The Well-Beloved* Hardy developed the themes of aesthetic experience but he also dealt with the theme of marriage and of the relationship between man and woman which were exhaustively debated in *Jude the Obscure*.

Encircling the publication of *Jude*, *The Well-Beloved* can be compared to Hardyøs masterpiece. Even if Hardyøs last novel tells the story of an artist, the character of Jocelyn Pierston is distanced by his author, and furthermore

Lo stile distaccato della narrazione, la caratterizzazione spesso caricaturale di Jocelyn e la meccanicità delle sue ossessioni amorose sottolineano una dissoluzione dell*ø*integrità del personaggio<sup>282</sup>

while Jude, despite its tragic ending, is the most complete and introspective of Hardyøs heroes and, as previously argued, the one who actually recalls its author. The ironic glances of the narrator towards Jocelyn, instead, place him in contrast with Jude, even if they share some common features, as the Romanticism of their dream, the idealization of women and their failures in the pursuit of their dreams.

Despite the similarities, *The Well-Beloved* diverges from *Jude the Obscure*, and it can be considered a  $\exists$ ightønovel and the only incursion by Hardy in the genre of the artistøs novel.

And the history of the text is as noteworthy as the issues developed in it. The first ideas on the subject were shaped many years before. As reported in his *Life*, Hardy

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>282</sup> E. Villari, Il Vizio Moderno delløIrrequietezza, Saggio sui romanzi di Thomas Hardy, Adriatica, Bari, 1990, p. 99.

was interested in writing something which involved the idea of õa face which gets through three generations or moreö<sup>283</sup>. However, the themes of Time passing and its consequences, of the elusive migratory Ideal and of artistic creation return frequently in the work of Hardy, especially in his poetry.

In fact, firstly Hardy wrote a poem entitled  $\div$ The Well-Belovedø which is collected in *Poems of the Past and the Present*. In this poem Hardy told the story of a bridegroom who meets a spirit who represents the Ideal woman. Significantly, the protagonist of the poem reaches the hill where in ancient times õthe Pagan temple stoodö<sup>284</sup>, a place similar to the hill described in *The Well-Beloved* and where Jocelyn kisses Avice for the first time. Here, the bridegroom talks to the sky and trees as if he were an Arcadian shepherd in a situation similar to the passage of the novel where Jocelyn sends a kiss to the moon. Suddenly the woman-spirit appears and talks to him softly about Love and she presents herself not as the woman he loves but as the ideal woman he, and all men, saw in their dreams. When finally he reaches his bride on the altar he compares her with the Spirit, but she now looks õpinched and thin / as if her soul had shrunk and died, / and left a waste withinö<sup>285</sup>. Reality falls short of the illusion of the dream and it leaves the man alone and dejected.

Furthermore the theme of an Ideal face which passes through the years was developed also in Heredityø, Hardyøs poem collected in *Moments of Vision*. In it Hardy described a Hardy faceø which is an Heredityø as the Caroøs family in *The Well-Beloved*, and introduces also the prominent importance of Time and its effects.

However, the first idea for both the serial and the novel was a story similar to Shakespeareøs *Romeo and Juliet*, but Hardy then discarded the idea inserting it only in the vague allusions between Jocelyn Pierston and Marcia Bencomb, at the beginning of the first part, when they discover that they belong to two rival families and Jocelyn compares them to the Capulets and Montagues<sup>286</sup>.

Thus Hardy focused on the Platonic idea, a theme which had interested the writer since the early years of his career. Hardy was influenced by the ideas on Love and Platonism of his most admired Romantic poet, Percy Bysshe Shelley. Even the epigraph of *The Well-Beloved*, õOne shape of many namesö, is taken from Shelleyøs

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>283</sup> T. Hardy, *The Life and Work of Thomas Hardy by Thomas Hardy*, M. Millgate ed., Basingstoke, Macmillan, 1989, p. 226.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>284</sup> T. Hardy, *The Well-Beloved* in *The Complete Poetical Works of Thomas Hardy* cit., vol. I, p. 168, l. 7.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>285</sup> *Ibidem*, p. 179, ll. 65-8.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>286</sup> Cf. T. Hardy, *The Well-Beloved* (1897) J. Thomas ed., London, Wordsworth Classics, 2000, pt. I, ch. 5, p. 21.

*The Revolt of Islam.* As already argued, Hardy borrowed many themes from the Romantics, but in *The Well-Beloved* the influence of Shelley is evident, as Hillis Miller stated:

The Well-Beloved takes from Shelley the theme of a brother-sister love, or narcissistic loving of oneself in the beloved. Such a love searches for a perfecting of oneself by joining oneself to a double of the other sex [...] Like Shelley, Hardy explores the relation of this theme to the problem of writing or of the creative imagination<sup>287</sup>.

The question of ideal Love, erotic impulses and artistic creativity is at the core of the novel. In fact, *The Well-Beloved* revolves around the pursuit of perfection in beauty and love which seems to haunt the protagonist, Jocelyn Pierston, who has to deal with his sensual impulses throughout his whole life. But he is also obsessed by the omigratory, elusive idealisation" that one called his Loveo<sup>288</sup> and which encloses all õhis aspirationsö and õeverything he regards as elevated and nobleö<sup>289</sup>. Accordingly, as a Platonic lover he follows and researches a heavenly woman rather than a real one, and he attributes to the beloved celestial qualities. According to Pinion, Shelley accepted the theory that othe perfect exists only in heaven, and [...] mortals in their transit from eternity to eternity can in general glimpse its manifestations only dimly at bestö<sup>290</sup>, except for õpoets or creators (including artists and statesman) being the only persons endowed with the divine faculty of seeing forms of the Ideal beyond the veilö<sup>291</sup>. Jocelyn, being a sculptor, has the faculty to see in this world his Ideal. However, he does not see only one manifestation of the Ideal, but uncountable forms of incarnation of the same spirit, and this never-ending quest makes him to the eyes of the reader a kind of parody of the Platonic lover.

Nevertheless, Jocelyn is perfectly conscious of his problem but he cannot control it and prefers to live in an ideal world of beauty and love in which the Platonic ideal is retraceable. As in the poem previously discussed, the illusion is strong but it leads to a misinterpretation of reality and to an incapability of distinguishing between a real and an imaginary world.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>287</sup> J. Hillis Miller, *Fiction and Repetition*, Oxford, Basil Blackwell, 1982, p. 148.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>288</sup> T. Hardy, *The Well-Beloved* cit., pt. I, ch. 1, p.10.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>289</sup> J. Thomas, *Introduction* cit., p. xi

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>290</sup> F. B. Pinion, *Hardy the Writer*, Macmillan, Basingstoke, 1990, p. 169-170.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>291</sup> Ivi.

In fact, Jocelyn õloves not the reality of woman but the vision or image of her that exists in his mindö<sup>292</sup>. He suffers, as many other Hardyøs characters, from the ache of modernism, which renders him unable to rest and feel satisfaction. His modern restlessness provokes in Jocelyn a continuous chase of the Beloved and an endless work as a sculptor in order to secure to eternity the perfect Beauty, failing, however, in both enterprises<sup>293</sup>.

Similarly to Jude, also Jocelyn is compared to the Wandering Jew because he is forced to follow, every time the Ideal spirit finds a new host, the new incarnation forgetting the previous one. As Arnold stated in *The Scholar-Gipsy* õthe soul is condemned to fluctuate idly without term or scopeö<sup>294</sup>, and in *The Well-Beloved*, both the womanøs spirit and Jocelynøs soul fluctuated across the years without reaching their purpose.

At the beginning of the novel, being a young man of twenty, Jocelyn has already loved uncountable embodiments of the Well-Beloved, but as the narrator states:

To his Well-Beloved he had always been faithful; but she had had many embodiments. Each individuality known as Lucy, Jane, Flora, Evangeline or what-not, had been merely a transient condition of her. He did not recognize this as an excuse or as a defence, but as a fact simply. Essentially she was perhaps of no tangible substance; a spirit, a dream, a frenzy, a conception, an aroma, an epitomized sex, a light of the eye, a parting of the lips. God only knows what she really was; Pierston did not. She was indescribable.<sup>295</sup>

Pierston, similarly to other Hardyøs lovers, idealizes the woman he loves venerating her as a goddess. But, contrary to Angeløs or Judeøs idealizations, which provoke tragedy, Jocelynøs misreading leads only to his ridiculousness and ephemeral love. Furthermore, Hardy depicted Jocelyn as the aesthetic artist who õ÷consecrate[s]ø the material world through the medium of imagination, which penetrates the surface of the ÷dreamø of reality beneath and then strives to bring that dream into a material existence on the page, on the canvas and in the studioö<sup>296</sup>. Jocelyn, penetrating in his

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>292</sup> R. H. Taylor, *The Neglected Hardy*, Macmillan, Basingstoke, 1982, p. 153.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>293</sup> Cf. E. Villari, op. cit., p. 102.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>294</sup> M. Arnold, *The Scholar-Gipsy* cit., p. 260, l. 167.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>295</sup> T. Hardy, *The Well-Beloved* cit., pt. I, ch. 2, p. 12.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>296</sup> J. Thomas, *Icons of Desire: The classical Statue in Later Victorian Literature*, õThe Yearbook of English Studiesö, Vol. 40, No. 1/2, (2010), pp. 246-272, p. 248.

dream and rendering it real through his statues, nourishes his desire and thirst for pleasure. In fact, as Thomas wrote, õthe work of art, then, does indeed excite desire, a desire that is at once aesthetic and sensuous and, in the case of sculpture, sometimes sensual and troublingly eroticö<sup>297</sup>.

Accordingly, all Jocelynøs statues are woman, i.e. the woman he loves or his personal goddesses; they are his muses which are translated in marble and rendered immortal. Similarly, also in Hardyøs creative life women exercised a prominent role. He depicted the most complete and interesting female characters, as for example Tess, Sue, The Well-Beloved women, but also Bathsheba in Far From the Madding *Crowd*, demonstrating an incredible ability to understand womanø heart and even to comprehend them better than his male characters. In The Well-Beloved, however, women not only are elevated to the rank of goddesses but also become muses and Jocelyn tried to reproduce them, though he obtains the effect of reducing them to mere objects. Despite Jocelynøs efforts to conquer his beloved ones, Marcia, but also Nichola Pine-Avon and Avice the Third, are presented as independent and selfsufficient women who resist Jocelyn and even abandon him. Hardy portrayed a sort of -New Womanøtowards whom he felt sympathy and support, in contrast with the rendition of Sue, who has been depicted as the frustrated -New Womang incoherent with her beliefs. Yet, for Jocelyn, woman õprovides aesthetic sustenanceö as well as for Hardy during his long career. Contrary to Jocelyn, however, Hardy would not õexploit her as his muse as well as feeding off her sensibilityö<sup>298</sup>.

In Part First, Chapter One, Jocelyn returns to the Isle of Slingers, his homeland, after a long absence. Here, he re-encounters Avice Caro, his youth companion. She is still the simple country girl, affectionate to his friend, who immediately kisses him at the door. After a brief moment of astonishment and coldness, Aviceøs kiss begins to nourish in Pierston a sensation of pleasure. Even if his feelings remain that of comradeship [rather] than love<sup>299</sup>, Jocelyn, following his impulses which are led by his thirst for pleasure, proposes to Avice to marry him. Jocelyn is not sure of seeing in her the embodiment of the ælusive spiritø nevertheless he continues his courtship and, despite his modernity, tries to persuade Avice to meet him in order to perform the æntive customø of sexual encounters before marriage.<sup>300</sup> Avice misses the

<sup>297</sup> Ivi.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>298</sup> J. Thomas, *Introduction* cit., p. xxiii.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>299</sup> T. Hardy, *The Well-Beloved* cit., pt. I, ch. 2, p. 12.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>300</sup> Cf. *Ibidem*, pt. I, ch. 2, p. 14.

appointment explaining to Jocelyn her feelings. Comparable to Sueøs physical restrain, Aviceøs  $\exists$ modern feelingsø, as she called them, are originated by the middle class morality which has reached the Isle in the last years. Even if the Isle is the õlast stronghold of the pagan divinities, where pagan customs lingered yetö<sup>301</sup>, Victorian morality has started to be integrated in the straightforward manners of the inhabitants of the Isle. But Avice is different from the generation of her parents, being quite an intellectual (she reads poetry) and influenced by  $\exists$ the tendency of the ageø<sup>302</sup>, and she cannot accept Jocelynøs proposal of sexual encounter.

Disappointed and frustrated by Aviceøs refusal, Jocelyn meets Marcia Bencomb, and immediately feels that the  $\div$ elusive spiritø has changed residence. Jocelyn is amazed by her appearance, he compares her to Juno and he even states that he has never seen something more classical<sup>303</sup>. Again Jocelynøs misperception of reality leads him to compare Marcia to a divinity and to see her through the lens of the artist. As Bullen claims õPierstonøs first response to woman is always a visual one, but his observations are idealized through reference to works of artö<sup>304</sup>. In his mind Jocelyn transforms the encounters with women in an aesthetic experience, increasing their value and meaning, and he tries to secure the perfection of beauty and love that he derives from them in the marble of the statues. Hence, without the ideal spirit of the Beloved, which he calls his  $\div$ curseø or  $\div$ influenceø<sup>305</sup>, he is unable to be inspired and to sculpt. His creations gained an extremely success in London cultural society, and Pierston is described by his friend Somers as the only one inspired sculptor of their times, and calls him Praxiteles and Lysippus<sup>306</sup>.

Therefore, when the Ideal spirit leaves its last embodiment, i.e. Marcia, Jocelyn dedicates all his efforts to the study of art, acknowledging that õthe absence of the corporeal matter did not involve the absence of the informing spiritö<sup>307</sup> which is his real inspiration. He enjoys the study of art and beauty for years, searching everywhere, in the streets, on the omnibuses, through crowds, the face which can include perfection and the next incarnation of the Beloved<sup>308</sup>. But he is never

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>301</sup> Ivi.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>302</sup> Cf. Ivi.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>303</sup> *Ibidem*, pt. I, ch. 4, p. 18.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>304</sup> J.B. Bullen, *The Expressive Eye, Fiction and Perception in the Work of Thomas Hardy*, Oxford, Clarendon Press, 1986, p. 225.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>305</sup> Cf. T. Hardy, *The Well-Beloved* cit., pt. I, ch. 6, p. 27.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>306</sup> Cf. *Ibidem*, pt. II, ch. 3, p. 58.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>307</sup> *Ibidem*, pt. I, ch. 7, p. 29.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>308</sup> Cf. *Ibidem*, pt. I, ch. 9, p. 38.

satisfied with his work, because the statues, even if beautiful and appreciated by society, lack the sparkle of life and he sees all his efforts as failures<sup>309</sup>. He is incapable of translating the fullness of the spirit of the Well-Beloved in an eternal work of art.

Instead of living fully his love experience he continually lives in an aesthetic dream which has prevailed on his entire life. Similarly to the Aesthetic creed professed by Pater, Jocelynøs world, as Iser underlines, is õtransformed by art into an aesthetic phenomenonö which õcuts out the distinction between poetry and lifeö<sup>310</sup>. Moreover, according to Pater,

The lover, who is become a lover of the invisible, but still a lover, and therefore, literally, a seer, of it, carrying an elaborate cultivation of the bodily senses, of eye and ear, their natural force and acquired fineness [...] into the world of intellectual abstractions; seeing and hearing there too, associating for ever all the imagery of things seen with the conditions of what primarily exists only for the mind, filling that  $\div$ hollow landø with delightful colour and form, as if now at last the mind were veritably dealing with living people there, living people who play upon us through affinities, the repulsion and attraction, of persons towards one another, all the magnetism, as we call it, of actual human friendship or love: - There [...] is the essential condition of [...] Platonism.<sup>311</sup>

Undoubtedly Pierston is portrayed as the Lover described in this passage by Pater, he is in fact, the lover of the invisible, of a phantom. This becomes even clearer when Avice the first dies and Jocelyn discovers himself in love with the memory of her that he has created in his mind. Only now that death has made her inaccessible and she is inserted forever in a world of perfection, Pierston sees Avice as õthe only woman whom [he] never rightly valued [...] and therefore the only one [he] shall ever regret!ö<sup>312</sup>. Furthermore he begins to consider her as more celestial than every other woman he has ever met. Under the influence of love he decides to return to the Isle of Slingers in order to attend her funeral. Here he meets Ann, Aviceøs daughter. The perfect resemblance of mother and daughter, notwithstanding their diversities in soul

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>309</sup> *Ibidem*, pt. II, ch. 1, p. 50.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>310</sup> W. Iser, *Walter Pater, the Aesthetic Moment*, Cambridge, Cambridge University Press, 1987, p. 110.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>311</sup> W. Pater, *Plato and Platonism*, London, Macmillan, 1920, pp. 139-140.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>312</sup> T. Hardy, *The Well-Beloved* cit., pt. II, ch. 3, p. 56.

and manner, arises in Jocelyn the desire of possessing her and she nourishes his fantasy. This return of the spirit of Love, however, brings a change. As Pierston recognizes õthe Beloved had seldom informed a personality which, while enrapturing his soul, simultaneously shocked his intellectö<sup>313</sup>. According to Hillis Miller, probably, õlove is intensified when the beloved is the repetition of an earlier belovedö<sup>314</sup>. As well as his love, also his fantasies are intensify, and consequently, Jocelyn invests Ann of symbolical comparisons with goddesses and works of art, as Minerva or Rubensøs woman paintings. Completely obsessed with her, Jocelyn sees Ann õas a sylph [...] more real, more interpenetratingö<sup>315</sup>, she becomes even õan irradiated being, the epitome of a whole sexö<sup>316</sup>. He inscribes her in his pantheon but, at the same time, as Radford notes, he õreveals a catastrophic inability to fully acknowledge that, for love to flourish, tangible external reality must sooner or later disperse the impalpable ether of a visionary worldö<sup>317</sup>.

Curiously Ann Avice suffers from the same doom as Jocelyn, triggering an ironic reversion of Jocelynøs curse. She states that

I get tired of my lovers as soon as I get to know them well. What I see in one young man for a while soon leaves him and goes into another yonder, and I follow, and then what I admire fades out of him and springs up somewhere else; and so I follow on, and never fix to one. I have loved *fifteen* a@ready!<sup>318</sup>

In this passage Hardy grotesquely inverted the roles, and Pierston becomes the embodiment of the Ideal spirit of love and not the seeker. However, contrary to Jocelyn, Ann does not see the beloved through the lens of art and she is not able to come close to the aesthetic experience. During their brief period of cohabitation in London, Jocelyn tenderly loves Ann and proposes to her more than once. But she candidly refuses to marry him and at the end he discovers that she is already pregnant and married to Isaac Pierston. Generously, Jocelyn reunites the couple and leaves them in the Isle.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>313</sup> *Ibidem*, pt. II, ch. 6, p. 68.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>314</sup> J. Hillis Miller, op. cit., p. 149.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>315</sup> T. Hardy, *The Well-Beloved* cit., pt. II, ch. 6, p. 68.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>316</sup> *Ibidem*, pt. II, ch. 9, p. 81.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>317</sup> A. Radford, *op. cit.*, p. 213.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>318</sup> T. Hardy, *The Well-Beloved* cit., pt. II, ch. 8, p. 78.

In the third section of the novel Jocelynøs misinterpretation of his perceptions creates even more embarrassment than in previous parts, because he does not recognize the passing of Time and his real age. Actually he is sixty but, as the title of the section claims, he is still a -young manøpreserved from the flux of time as if he were one of his statues.

Moreover, his journey to Rome and the study of art õhad nourished and developed his natural responsiveness to impressionsö<sup>319</sup>. This naturally leads to a return of the Well-Beloved, this time in the body of Avice the third, Annøs daughter. The young Avice, reunites the soul of her grandmother and the physical aspect of his mother. This likeness õhelped the dreamö<sup>320</sup> in Jocelyn, who is encouraged also by Ann, and immediately falls in love with the girl. However, Hardy plays with him and his efforts to court Avice, rendering him ridiculous, in one of the most ironic passages of the novel:

 $\exists$  was once the lover of your mother, and wanted to marry her; only she wouldnot, or rather couldnot, marry me. $\emptyset$ 

Oh how strange! Said the girl [...] yet of course, you might have been. I mean, you are old enough.ø

He took the remark as a satire she had not intended.  $\exists$ Oh yes ó *quite old enough*, øhe said grimly.  $\exists$ Almost too old.ø

-Too old for mother? Howøs that?ø

-Because I belonged to your grandmother.ø[...]

-But you couldnøt have been, Mr. Pierston! You are not old enough! Why, how old are you? ó you have never told me.ø

-I am very old.ø

 $\exists$  My motherøs and my grandmotherøs,ø said she, looking at him no longer as at a possible husband but as *a strange fossilised relic in human form*. [...]  $\exists$  and were you my great-grandmotherøs too? $ø^{321}$  [italics mine]

Nevertheless, Jocelyn does not accept his age; he does not see himself as a relic and continues to pursuit his dream of Love. His narcissism and desire for eternal youth render him blind to his ageing and he becomes a parody of himself. He seems a ghost

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>319</sup> *Ibidem*, pt. III, ch. 2, p. 114.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>320</sup> *Ibidem*, pt. III, ch. 2, p. 116.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>321</sup> *Ibidem*, pt. III, ch. 4, p. 129.

who chases an illusion and yet, reaching his mature age, Jocelyn learns nothing from experience which rather leads to a disintegration of the self.

Finally, passing in front of a window and seeing his reflected image, Jocelyn recognizes his age, comparing himself to something ghostly<sup>322</sup>. Moreover, the illness which has followed Aviceøs flight with Henri Leverre, Marcia Bencombøs adopted son, and Annøs death, leave him not only aged but deprived of every trace of artistic temperament, and also of his dream of the Beloved which throughout the novel Jocelyn has called -his curseø

He was no longer the same man that he had hitherto been. The malignant fever, or his experiences, or both, had taken away something from him, and put something else in its place. During the next days, with further intellectual expansion, he became clearly aware of what this was. The artistic sense had left him, and he could no longer attach a definite sentiment to images of beauty recalled from the past. His appreciativeness was capable of exercising itself only on utilitarian matters.<sup>323</sup>

Jocelyn, with his curse removed, disperses the whole collection of his statues and retires to Isle of Slingers with Marcia. Without his dream of the Well-Beloved he is incapable of artistic appreciation and aesthetic experience. His life is no longer devoted to Art and without his inspiration, as Taylor remarks, õhe must renounce artö<sup>324</sup>. At the end, he õbecomes utterly insensitive in a manner abhorrent to Hardy [...]. Jocelyn is transformed into an uncontemplative modern, a practical man engaged in the most mundane acts of aesthetic vandalismö<sup>325</sup>. Actually he destroys Elizabethan cottages and closes old natural fountains in order to replace them with new buildings<sup>326</sup>.

Even if the 1897 noveløs ending is not as pungent as the 1892øs ending, which closes on a bitter laugh of Jocelyn, Hardy is clearly ironic and critical towards his character and both endings show Jocelynøs failure.

Arguably therefore Jocelynøs entire life can be defined as a failure. He fails to see clearly the object of his love, he fails to recreate in his statues the perfection of

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>322</sup> Cf. *Ibidem*, pt. III, ch. 4, p. 128.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>323</sup> *Ibidem*, pt. III, ch. 8, p. 152.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>324</sup> R. H. Taylor, *op. cit.*, p. 164.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>325</sup> *Ibidem*, p. 165.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>326</sup> T. Hardy, *The Well-Beloved* cit., pt. III, ch. 8, p. 158.

beauty, and he fails continually in his misinterpretation of experiences. Even if Jocelyn lives according to his senses and pleasures, following the Greek ideal described in Arnoldøs *Pagan and Mediaeval Religious Sentiment* as a õgay sensuous pagan lifeö<sup>327</sup>, he exaggerates this model, distorting the simplicity and cheerfulness of pagan life. Thus, as Arnold feared, this õemblem of the power of life and the bloom of beautyö<sup>328</sup> stimulates õa single side of us too absolutelyö<sup>329</sup> and the individual is not balanced. Describing a character who prays Pagan divinities, sings to the moon and comes from the õlast [...] stronghold of pagan divinitiesö<sup>330</sup> and who is guided by Platonic fantasy, Hardy compares Jocelyn to the Paterian model of man and simultaneously criticizes it. Pater, in fact, emphasises, as McGrath underlines, õthe primacy of sensation for its own sakeö<sup>331</sup> and in so doing, as Evangelista writes, õhe effectively frees the individual [...] from moral imperativesö<sup>332</sup>.

The moral imperatives of course are those of Victorian middle class, individuated by both Arnold and Pater as the first sense of philistinism. Also Hardy, as previously argued, denounces the strict moral conduct of Victorian society, and especially in this novel he inserted traditional customs, as for example the pre-marriage intercourses, which are incompatible with modern moral tendencies. But Hardy in *The Well-Beloved* criticised also a conduct of life too much romanticised and devoted only to Aesthetic pleasures. According to Pater, õnot the fruit of experience, but experience itself, is the endö, and in the *:*Conclusionø of *The Renaissance* he continues:

To burn always with this hard, gem-like flame, to maintain this ecstasy, is success in life. In a sense it might even be said that our failure is to form habits [...] we may well grasp at any exquisite passion, or any contribution to knowledge that seems by a lifted horizon to set the spirit free for a moment, or any stirring of the senses<sup>333</sup>.

Hardy disagreed with Pater and portrayed Pierston as unable to hold this model. Accordingly, Jocelynøs sense are not stirred, but somehow frustrated by the inability

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>327</sup> M. Arnold, Pagan and Medieval Religious Sentiment cit., p. 223.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>328</sup> *Ibidem*, p. 222.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>329</sup> *Ibidem*, p. 223.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>330</sup> T. Hardy, *The Well-Beloved* cit., pt. I, ch. 2, p. 14.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>331</sup> F. C. McGrath, *The Sensible Spirit*, Tampa, University of Southern Florida Press, 1986, p. 54.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>332</sup> S. Evangelista, op. cit., p. 47.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>333</sup> W. Pater, Studies in the History of the Renaissance cit., p. 120.

to realize his dream. Moreover, his experiences do not contribute to increase his knowledge; actually they are only a continuous repetition of the same pattern which transforms his life in a mere  $\exists$ ghost storyø At the end, when his creative power is vanished, he becomes even ineffectual and very similar to the character described by Shelley in *On Love*, namely a õliving sepulchre of himself, and what yet survives is the mere husk of what once he wasö<sup>334</sup>.

Hardy represented the failure of the Platonic dream and the uselessness of the aesthetic model, but he wrote an impressive novel on the powers of representation and artistic process.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>334</sup> P.B. Shelley, On Love in Shelley¢s Prose, (1954), D.L. Clark ed. London, Fourth Estate, 1988, p. 171.

#### 4.2 Art and Life

*The Well-Beloved* is the only novel by Hardy that can be described as a *Künstlerroman*, i.e. an artistøs novel. Hardy devoted almost a quarter of the century to write fine novels and his last work explores and reflects exactly on the artistic process. Despite the ironic handling of the protagonist, the last novel of Thomas Hardy is full of references to the work of the artist and to how art is perceived, and even if Jocelyn Pierston is a sculptor and not a writer, the artistic process is similar. As previously argued Pierston is depicted as a parody of the Platonic lover and as a character unable to hold the aesthetic model, but Jocelynøs characterization recalls also some of Hardyøs personal traits, especially in the evaluation of works of art and in his creative process.

According to Hardy, there is õsolidarity of all artsö<sup>335</sup> and, as Byerly wrote, they õare all seen as natural expressions of different kinds of truthö<sup>336</sup>. As previously argued, Hardy was deeply influenced by romantic poets, and, as Page wrote, he õembrace the Keatsian idea of a work of art enshrining beauty and truthö<sup>337</sup> but for Hardy beauty is not absolute, is influenced by the individual perceptions, and even truth can be of different kinds and he stated that

we dong always remember as we should that in getting at the truth we get only at the true nature of the impression that an object, etc., produces on us, the true thing in itself being still beyond our knowledge<sup>338</sup>

Displaying a character who overlaps life and art, Hardy gives form to an Aesthetic tenet common in the last years of the Nineteenth century, especially in the works of Pater and Wilde. The Paterian Aestheticism considers art as an influence on all aspects of life, and moreover, according to Iser, õenables life to be masteredö<sup>339</sup>. According to Paterøs thought, eventually, art removes the ÷endø from life and it triumphs over reality<sup>340</sup>. Hardy disagreed with Pater, showing in the novel that is

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>335</sup> T. Hardy quoted in N. Page, op. cit., p. 46.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>336</sup> A. Byerly, *Realism, Representation, and the Arts in Nineteenth-century Literature*, Cambridge, Cambridge University Press, 1997, p. 149.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>337</sup> N. Page, *op. cit.*, p. 39.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>338</sup> T. Hardy, *The Life and Work of Thomas Hardy* cit., p. 261-2.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>339</sup> W. Iser, *op. cit.*, p. 91.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>340</sup> Cf. *Ibidem*, p. 35.

impossible to remove the  $\neq$ endøfrom life, because, as Pierston experiences, Time and deterioration have to be accepted.

But, Hardy certainly shared with Pater the concept of Beauty as not absolute, as stated in *The Renaissance*:

To define beauty, not in the most abstract but in the most concrete terms possible, to find not its universal formula, but the formula which expresses most adequately this or that special manifestation of it, is the aim of the true student of aesthetics<sup>341</sup>.

And Pierston can be compared to the true student of aesthetics because, as previously argued, he tries to imprison in his statues the essence of the Ideal Beauty and in his moments of crisis õthe study of Beauty was his only joyö<sup>342</sup>.

In his study of Beauty, however, he also tries to find õa novelty of expressionö against the õrigidly enforced conventionsö<sup>343</sup>, similarly to Hardy who in his striving for artistic perfection, researched an adequateness of style in order to convey in his novels his ideas and -impressionsø which Holloway defined as Hardy õfavourite term for whatever sense of life his novels conveyö<sup>344</sup>. Moreover, according to Shires, as well as Jocelyn, also Hardyøs õimagination is primarily visual, as is attested by his painting-like set pieces and his many poetic effortsö<sup>345</sup>. Also Jocelynøs act of creation in *The Well-Beloved* is similar to Hardyøs artistic process; in fact, as Bullen explained, õit is the eye of Jocelyn Pierston which searches for the forms of the inspirational well-beloved, and it is that same eye which translates the forms into works of sculptureö<sup>346</sup>. Hardy searched in the forms and objects of the world his inspiration and translated them in works of literature.

Notwithstanding these similarities, while Pierston is devoted to a concept of life and art which recalls Paterian Aestheticism and which renders life aesthetic and continually selects, as Iser argued, õthe precious, the incomparable and the inimitable [endowing] human existence with a seeming perfection which in reality it lacksö<sup>347</sup>,

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>341</sup> W. Pater, *Studies in the History of The Renaissance* cit., p. 3.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>342</sup> T. Hardy, *The Well-Beloved* cit., pt. I, ch. 9, p. 38.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>343</sup> A. Radford, *op. cit.*, p. 218.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>344</sup> J. Holloway, *op. cit.*, p. 246.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>345</sup> L. M. Shires, *The Radical Aesthetic of Tess of the DøUrbervilles*, in *The Cambridge Companion To Thomas Hardy* cit., p. 148.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>346</sup> J.B. Bullen, *op cit.*, p. 224.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>347</sup> W. Iser, *op. cit.*, p. 31.

Hardy preferred to show insights on the everyday reality of the world, with its imperfections and curiosities, expressing also the personality and uniqueness of the author.

However, according to Hardy and also to the aesthetes, õrealism is not artö<sup>348</sup>. He believed that there is a difference between a *reportageø* and an *refulø* novel. As Thomas claimed Hardy offelt strongly that the artist [...] should be a painter rather than a mere documenter of realityö<sup>349</sup>. Hence for Hardy õart is a disproportion of ó (i.e. distorting, throwing out of proportion) ó of realitiesö<sup>350</sup> thus, agreeing with the promoters of Aestheticism, it becomes clear that he felt himself in contrast with Realism, and especially Naturalism. Hardy preferred to show in his novels glimpses and insight of the real world rather than picturing it accurately, he reproduced a series of impressions on ordinary events which are transformed by the artistø mind. Undoubtedly Hardy was fascinated by the different shades of life, and as if he were an impressionist artist, he portrayed a country world in which dominate the exaggerations, the first impressions and the repetitions; in Hardyøs Wessex the coincidences and the melodrama are emphasized. But in this way Hardy, looking deep into the matters of life and portraying also the cruelty and the narrowmindedness of society, underlined the importance of mutual understanding and compassion.

Furthermore, according to Bonaparte, Hardy in his novels was õsetting up an ironic relationship between the reality he sees and the reality seen by his charactersö<sup>351</sup>. The reality seen by the majority of his characters, especially in the case of Jocelyn, is distorted, as if they were looking through a glass or in a constant dream. Actually Hardy portrayed most of his characters as dreamers, but in the modern world, the dream is no longer possible and it becomes almost dangerous. The characters that continue to pursue their dream, as Jocelyn does, have lost the continuity with reality and they are on a false track which leads them to tragedy or to ridiculousness.

If Hardy demonstrated that õwhile it may be desirable ó even necessary ó to privilege Life over Art, a life without ideals is a short and brutal affairö<sup>352</sup>, Jocelyn, following an aesthetic model, not only privileges ideals but he allows Art to master his life, becoming for Hardy only a mockery of Paterian Aestheticism.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>348</sup> T. Hardy, *The Life and Work of Thomas Hardy* cit., p. 239.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>349</sup> J. Thomas, *Introduction* cit., p. xiii.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>350</sup> T. Hardy, *The Life and Work of Thomas Hardy* cit., p. 239.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>351</sup> F. Bonaparte, *op. cit.*, p. 425.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>352</sup> J. Thomas, *Icons of Desire: The classical Statue in Later Victorian Literature* cit., p. 269.

According to Paterøs theory, õart [...] perfects life to the degree in which it renders life aestheticö<sup>353</sup>; for Hardy instead, as Byerly claimed, art has to be governed by life, or better, it õis most valuable when it parallels the movement of daily realityö<sup>354</sup>. It is culturally and individually formed, it has a social value and it useful to the culture that generates and utilizes it.

Similar to Pater, Jocelyn turns his gaze to the ancient pagan world, as in the passage above mentioned where Jocelyn prays to the moon, but he even feels tortured by the Christian dogmas, because õhe had devoted himself both in his craft [...] and his heartö<sup>355</sup> to pagan Gods. Moreover, he continually compares himself and his experiences to the ancient heroes of the Greek classical tradition, for example Aeneas<sup>356</sup>. Similarly to Angel and Sue, Jocelyn is guided by the illusion of a false paganism because he does not õsee things as they really areö<sup>357</sup> which was, according to Arnold, the principal tenet of Hellenism. Jocelyn, following only Hellenism, namely only one side of human forces which dominate the human soul according to Arnold, lacks the harmony necessary to improve himself, and which is essential also in art.

Moreover, Hardy agreed with Arnold in the necessity to find a compromise between the Hellenic and Hebrew part of man. According to Pinion, Hardy reported in 1876 that he õrecognized the opposition of these two cultsö<sup>358</sup> and, as Arnold did, advocated for a synthesis between Hellenism and Hebraism. Nevertheless Hardyøs Hellenic characters never find this compromise and, in fact, they lack not only the finer ideas derived from Christianity, namely altruism and Pauline charity, but also they are not sympathetic with the other characters and experiences a profound inner crisis. Both Hardy and Arnold searched for harmony and a solution for the disease of the modern time, and both proposed an increasing of mutual understanding.

Furthermore, both Arnold and Hardy elevated poetry, especially Arnold who considered poetry as the medium of maximum expression, as he stated in *Wordsworth*: õNow poetry is nothing less than the most perfect speech of man, that in which he comes nearest to being able to utter the truthö<sup>359</sup>. Accordingly, for Hardy

<sup>357</sup> M. Arnold, *Culture and Anarchy* cit., p. 23.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>353</sup> W. Iser, *op. cit.*, p. 91.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>354</sup> A. Byerly, *op. cit.*, p. 176.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>355</sup> T. Hardy, *The Well-Beloved* cit., pt. II, ch. 9, p. 85.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>356</sup> *Ibidem*, pt. III, ch. 7, p. 147.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>358</sup> F.B. Pinion, *op. cit.*, p. 117.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>359</sup> M. Arnold, Wordsworth in The Complete Prose Work of Matthew Arnold cit., vol. IX, pp. 36-56, p. 39.

the õultimate aim of the poet should be to touch our hearts by showing his ownö<sup>360</sup>, and also in his novels he continually used poetical sceneries and descriptions, because poetry õis emotion put into measure. The emotion must come by natureö<sup>361</sup> and he attempted to maintain his prose writing õas near to poetry in their subject as the conditions would allow, and had often regretted that those conditions would not let him keep them nearer stillö<sup>362</sup>.

Hardy shared also Arnoldøs concept of *imaginative reasonø* Introduced at the end of *Pagan and Mediaeval Religious Sentiment*, this ideal is, as DeLaura claims,

the characteristic demand of õthe modern spirit,ö which is best conveyed in the greatest Greek poets, somehow reconciles the senses and the understanding, the heart and the imagination; it strikes a balance between õthe thinking-powerö and õthe religious senseö<sup>363</sup>

Once more, Arnold attempted to find a compromise between the forces which coexist in the human being. And, in order to find this harmony it is necessary to recognize that õthe heart is reached not so much by reason and logic as by the imaginationö<sup>364</sup>. Arguably therefore the medium to reach this harmony and perfection between reason and heart is poetry, which became the priestess of the ∹imaginative reasonø The ∹imaginative reasonø or power as Hardy called it, is essential to the creative process which for Hardy was a way of õintensify[ing] the expression of things [...] so that the heart and inner meaning is made vividly visibleõ<sup>365</sup>. Hardy recognized that the congenial genre to express at his best his ∹impressionsø was exactly poetry and Arnold, who also returned to poetry at the end of his career, even declared that õthe best poetry is what we want; the best poetry will be found to have a power of forming, sustaining, and delighting us, as nothing else canö<sup>366</sup>.

Furthermore, Hardy agreed with Arnold that:

A poetical work, therefore, is not yet justified when it has been shown to be an accurate, and therefore interesting representation; it has to be shown also that it

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>360</sup> T. Hardy, *The Life and Work of Thomas Hardy* cit., p. 131.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>361</sup> *Ibidem*, p. 322.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>362</sup> *Ibidem*, p. 309-10.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>363</sup> D. J. DeLaura, Hebrew and Hellene in Victorian England: Newman, Arnold, and Pater cit., p. 37.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>364</sup> *Ibidem*, p. 72.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>365</sup> T. Hardy, quoted in J. Thomas, *Introduction* cit., p.xvi.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>366</sup> M. Arnold, *The Study of Poetry* in *The Complete Prose Work of Matthew Arnold* cit., vol. IX, pp. 161-188, p. 163.

is a representation from which men can derive enjoyment. In presence of the most tragic circumstances, represented in a work of art, the feeling of enjoyment, as is well known, may still subsist: the representation of the most utter calamity, of the liveliest anguish, is not sufficient to destroy it: the more tragic the situation, the deeper becomes the enjoyment; and the situation is more tragic in proportion as it becomes more terrible<sup>367</sup>.

However, this statement can be considered well-founded also for Hardyøs prose. The novelist knew perfectly that even tragedy can be beautiful and terrible at the same time, and admiring so much the Greek tragedians, he inserted in his novel classical tragic elements and references to the works of Greek tragedians which amused him. Even if Hardy, when he was a young architect and poet, decided to write novels in order to receive an income sufficient for the support of his family, considering it as a trade as he considered his work as an architect<sup>368</sup>, immediately demonstrated to be an extremely powerful and talented novelist. In fact, his use of irony, bizarre events and a certain degree of detachment from his characters underline Hardyøs enjoyment in writing and the facility with which he filtered real situations into the literary world. Nevertheless, Hardy, even if he õdenied that his novels had a purposeö<sup>369</sup>, inserted anyway sharp reflections on society, man and also ferocious critiques of institutions. Consequently, after having pleased his readers with the first novels with which gained celebrity, he started to receive ferocious critiques. His uneasiness with society, his nonconformist opinions and his particular style divided the public opinion and made Hardy increasingly discomforted. His engagement with the novel form and with the public response was never peaceful and Hardy, who always struggled with critics and the reading public in order to be understood, decided at the end of the century to stop writing novels and to write only poetry, because he felt that:

perhaps I can express more fully in verse ideas and emotions which run counter to the inert crystallized opinion ó hard as a rock ó which the vast body of man have vested interests in supporting. To cry out in a passionate poem that (for

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>367</sup> M. Arnold, Preface to the First Edition of Poems (1853) in The Complete Prose Work of Matthew Arnold cit., vol. I, pp. 1-15, p. 2.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>368</sup> Cf. T. Hardy, *The Life and Work of Thomas Hardy* cit., p. 107.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>369</sup> J. Thomas, *Introduction* cit., p. xiv.

instance) the Supreme Mover or Movers, The Prime Force or Forces, must be either limited in power, unknowing or cruel  $\acute{0}$  which is obvious enough, and has been for centuries  $\acute{0}$  will cause them merely a shake of the head; but to put it in an argumentative prose will make them sneer or foam, and set all the literary contorsionists jumping upon me<sup>370</sup>.

Clearly Hardy recognized the dangerousness of prose, and even if he estimated the novel-writing he considered poetry a safer medium than prose, and after almost twenty-five years of novel-writing he decided to return to poetry, tired of the continuous critiques and having established for himself fame and economical security

At the end of his last novel, Hardy wrote about Jocelyn, its protagonist:

at the present he is sometimes mentioned as -the late Mr Pierstonø by gourdlike young art-critics and journalists; and his productions are alluded to as those of a man not without genius, whose powers were insufficiently recognised in his lifetime<sup>371</sup>.

Clearly, he hinted also at his own career and at his retirement from prose. Nevertheless, he did not retire from art, and he continued for almost thirty years to write beautiful poems, because, as he answered to Sir Arthur Blomfield: õa sense of the truth of poetry, of its supreme place in literature, had awakened itself in me. At the risk of ruining all my worldly prospects I dabbled in itö<sup>372</sup>.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>370</sup> T. Hardy, *The Life and Work of Thomas Hardy* cit., p. 302.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>371</sup> T. Hardy, *The Well-Beloved* cit., pt. III, ch. 8, p. 158.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>372</sup> T. Hardy, *The Life and Work of Thomas Hardy* cit., p. 415.

# Conclusion

In his long career which overlaps a century of turmoil, Hardy dealt with many important issues, as this dissertation has tried to argue. Hardyøs desertion of novelwriting did not prevent him from continuing to develop themes and problems already discussed in his novels, such as the relationship between Man and Nature, the traditional folklore and also his Aesthetic tenets.

After the success of his first novel, *Desperate Remedies*, he had stayed at the centre of London cultural society for many years, and he was acclaimed as one of the finest novelist of the century. Also the sales of his novels confirm this reputation and he was appraised by poets as Swinburne and novelists as Meredith, who was also his first literary advisor. After *The Well-Beloved*, his last novel, he published many collections of poems, with old and new poems, his long poem *The Dynasts* and collections of short stories, until his death in 1928. Moreover he did not retire from the public sphere, and he continued to write letters and brief articles on contemporary events and to answer to requests of literary contributions.

On the one hand, Hardy owed much of his philosophy to the eminent intellectuals of the Victorian age, as J.S. Mill, T.H. Huxley and Walter Pater whose thoughts are retraceable in Hardyøs masterpieces, *Jude* and *Tess*, but he was influenced also by his friends, as Leslie Stephen. On the other hand he was a self-taught man and among his readings are listed various and different books, from Greek authors, to Romantic poetry, but also scientific and art treatises. His interests varied from music to antiquarianism, even if his passion was architecture. His love for the Arts permeates all his works, confirming his belief of the sisterhood of arts; in Hardyøs novels references to painters as Rubens or Turner are to be found, but also to the architectural field with his beautiful descriptions of palaces and churches, as in *Jude the Obscure*.

Hardyøs novels and poems have been defined in many ways even if he disdained definitions, especially when critics said that he permeated his work with pessimism. As he stated in 1922, critics considered him and his work ÷pessimistøbut, his literary work õis, in truth, only such ÷questioningsø in the exploration of reality, and is the first step towards the souløs betterment, and the bodyøs alsoö<sup>373</sup>.

Arguably therefore, the improvement of the soul and of the human being was an essential theme in Victorian age, and many intellectuals devoted their writings on this issue, proposing different solutions. As far as Hardy is concerned, he showed the

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>373</sup> T. Hardy, *Apology* cit., p. 318.

harshness of reality and the limits of humankind, advocating for an enlargement of human compassion and sympathy, similarly to many others Victorian writers.

As many Victorian intellectuals, Hardy was a supporter of the evolutionary theory and he was one of the few that recognized the sympathetic part of it. However, in agreement also with Huxley, as Beach argued, he knew that õMother Nature, or the alternative God, is [...] blind and dumb, a mere somnambulistö<sup>374</sup>, and Hardyøs characters experiment the blindness and indifference of Nature in front of its creaturesødestiny, as it is clearly shown in *Tess*.

Hardy was not an unhappy man, but he was honest with himself and the world, and he was well aware of the tragedy of the world and the *un*moral aspect of Nature. Moreover, Hardy knew that humanity is impotent in front of the laws of Nature and social codes, as the tragedy of Sue and Jude demonstrates, and the harshness that derives from these conditions made him discontent.

As Beach claimed õthis is the price which Hardy pays ó like Mill before him ó for his supposition that the ruling power is not deliberate planner of mortal miseries. The ruler of the universe, as they both hold, cannot be benevolent and omniscient at the same timeö<sup>375</sup>.

As argued in the previous chapters, Hardy was especially influenced by one of the luminaries of the Victorian age, as he is called in *Jude*, namely Matthew Arnold.

Hardy admired Arnold and considered him one of the few modern critics õwho seem [...] worth readingö<sup>376</sup>, and he agreed with Arnold on the importance of poetry and in the search for harmony between oppose human forces. He came close to Arnoldøs idea of poetry as religion in *Apology*, where he wrote:

poetry, pure literature in general, religion ó I include religion, in its essential and undogmatic sense, because poetry and religion touch each other, or rather modulate into each other; are indeed, often but different names for the same thing ó these, I say, the visible signs of mental and emotional life<sup>377</sup>.

Similarly, they both attacked -Philistinismø and the social convention of Victorian middle class. Certainly Hardy admired Arnold, especially in his definition of the

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>374</sup> J. W. Beach, *Hardy* in *Thomas Hardy Critical Assessments* cit., vol. IV, pp. 246-261, p. 259.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>375</sup> Ivi.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>376</sup> T. Hardy, *The Life and Work of Thomas Hardy* cit., p. 112.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>377</sup> T. Hardy, *Apology* cit., pp. 323-4.

purpose of poetry and criticism, but the writer diverged from Arnold, in the evaluation of the little and common things in which great things can be found. Arnold, in fact, criticized the provincialism of England while Hardy evaluated it and set his novels in Wessex, a province recreated *ad hoc* for his purposes and where rural characters and nature can still coexist even if for a brief period. As Hardy stated provincialism is othe essence of individuality, and is largely made up of that crude enthusiasm without which no great thoughts are thought, no great deeds doneö<sup>378</sup>, hence, according to him, Matthew Arnold was wrong in his evaluation of province and its features.

Moreover, Hardy considered Arnold too conventional for his approach to religion in the middle of his career. Hardy, being an agnostic, could not accept the dogmas and doctrines of the Church while Arnold tried to reinterpret the Bible and the Church teachings in order to find a compromise suitable for his age.

Nevertheless Hardy studied and knew perfectly the Bible, and, as already said, he maintains as a fundamental principle the Pauline charity, as well as Arnold. But, influenced also by the scientific discoveries and especially by Huxleyøs thought, Hardy expanded this charity and compassion to animals.

If Arnold was considered an innovator for his social division and definition of society and for the solution proposed for the elevation of man, Hardy, even if he agreed with his precursor, saw clearly the modern mange agony and he portrayed it in his novels with a technique that unites the common and the extravagant, because, according to him, õa story must be worth the tellingö<sup>379</sup>. Moreover, his style is full of the instability of the age merged with his striking sincerity and poetical prose, creating a spell which is difficult to miss. As Hardy held owe tale-tellers are all ancient Mariners, and none of us is warranted in stopping Wedding Guests (in other words, the hurrying public) unless he has something more unusual to relate than the ordinary experience of every average man and womanö<sup>380</sup>.

Hardy blended high poetical scenes with acute irony, nonconformist ideas and an undeniable wit, and despite the uncountable critiques, he was appreciated not only by his contemporaries but also by modernists, as Virginia Woolf and especially D.H. Lawrence and the war poets. Even one of Hardyøs most pungent critics, T.S. Eliot,

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>378</sup> T. Hardy, *The Life and Work of Thomas Hardy* cit., p. 151.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>379</sup> Quoted in D. Cecil, Hardy the Novelist, an Essay in Criticism in Thomas Hardy Critical Assessments cit., vol. IV, pp. 398-426, p. 402. <sup>380</sup> Ivi.

had to admit that Hardy $\alpha$  style õtouches sublimityö, although he wrote, immediately after, that Hardy õever [...] passed through the stage of being goodö<sup>381</sup>.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>381</sup> T. S. Eliot, From After Strange Gods in Thomas Hardy Critical Assessments cit., vol. III, pp. 239-240, p. 239.

# Bibliography

## **Primary Sources:**

Hardy T., Tess of the DøUrbervilles, (1891), ed. T. Dolin, London, Penguin, 1998

---*Tess of the DøUrbervilles*, (1891), ed. S. Gatrell, Oxford, Oxford University Press, 2005

---Jude the Obscure, (1895), ed. D. Taylor, London, Penguin, 1998

--- The Well-Beloved, (1897), ed. J. Thomas, London, Wordsworth Classics, 2000

---The Complete Poetical Works of Thomas Hardy, ed. S. Hynes, Oxford, Clarendon Press, 1984, 4 vols.

The Life and Work of Thomas Hardy by Thomas Hardy, ed. M. Millgate, Basingstoke, Macmillan, 1989

Arnold M., Culture and Anarchy, (1869), Oxford, Oxford University Press, 2009

--- *The Complete Prose Works of Matthew Arnold*, ed. R.H. Super and A. Arbor, 9 vols, Rexdale, The University of Michigan Press, 1960

--- *The Poetical Works of Matthew Arnold*, ed. C.B. Tinker and H.F. Lowry, London, Oxford University Press, 1950

Bentham, J., *An Introduction to the Principles of Morals and Legislation* (1789), J.H. Burns and H. L. A. Hart eds., Oxford, Clarendon Press, 1948

Carlyle, T., Signs of the Times (1829) in Scottish and Other Miscellanies, London, Dent, 1964

Huxley, T.H., *Evoluzione ed Etica*, ed. A. La Vergata, Torino, Bollati Boringheri, 1995

--- Evolution and Ethics and Other Essays, (1893) Princeton, Princeton University Press, 2009

--- Science and Culture (1880) in T. H. Huxley on Education, A Selection from his Writings, New York, Cambridge University Press, 1971, pp. 180-188

Newman, J. H., Apologia Pro Vita Sua, (1864) ed. I. Ker, London, Penguin, 1994

Pater, W., *The Renaissance, Studies in Art and Poetry*, (1873), Oxford, Oxford University Press, 2010

--- Plato and Platonism, (1893), London, Macmillan, 1920

Shelley, P.B., On Love, in Shelleyøs Prose, (1954), ed. Clark, D. L., London, Fourth Estate, 1988

# Secondary sources:

### A) Books

Bullen, J.B., *The Expressive Eye, Fiction and Perception in the Work of Thomas Hardy*, Oxford, Clarendon Press, 1986

Byerly, A., *Realism, Representation, and the Arts in Nineteenth-century Literature*, Cambridge, Cambridge University Press, 1997

Carroll, J., *The Cultural Theory of Matthew Arnold*, Berkley, University California Press, 1982

Clarke, G. ed., *Thomas Hardy Critical Assessments*, 4 vols, Mountfield, Helm Information, (1993):

--- D. Lodge, Tess, Nature, and the Voices of Hardy, vol. IV, pp. 145-157

--- D. Van Ghent, On Tess of the DøUrbervilles, vol. IV, pp. 113-124

--- E. B. Gose Jr., *Psychic Evolution: Darwinism and Initiation in Tess*, vol. IV, pp. 158-166

--- D. H. Lawrence, Thomas Hardy, vol. III, pp. 241-284

--- R.B. Heilman, Hardyøs Sue Bridehead, vol. IV, pp. 211-225

--- T.S. Eliot, After Strange Gods, vol. III, pp. 239-240

--- J. W. Beach, Hardy in Thomas Hardy Critical Assessments vol. IV, pp. 246-261

--- D. Cecil, Hardy the Novelist, an Essay in Criticism vol. IV, pp. 398-426

Cox, R. G., ed., Thomas Hardy: The Critical Heritage, London, Routledge, 1970

Cyril, B., T.H. Huxley: scientist, humanist and educator, London, Watts, 1959

Dave, J.C, The Human Predicament in Hardyøs Novels, London, Macmillan, 1985

DeLaura, D. J., *Hebrew and Hellene in Victorian England: Newman, Arnold, and Pater*, Austin, University of Texas Press, 1969

Evangelista, S., Aestheticism and Ancient Greece, Hellenism: Reception, Gods in Exile, Basingstoke, Palgrave Macmillan, 2009

Hillis Miller, J., Fiction and Repetition, Oxford, Basil Blackwell, 1982

Holloway, J., The Victorian Sage: Studies in Argument, (1953) Hamden, Archon Books, 1962

Houghton, W. E., *The Victorian Frame of Mind*, 1830-1870, New Haven, Yale University Press, 1957

Iser, W., Walter Pater, the Aesthetic Moment, Cambridge, Cambridge University Press, 1987

Ker, I., The Achievement of John Henry Newman, London, Collins, 1990

Kramer, D., ed., *The Cambridge Companion to Thomas Hardy*, Cambridge, Cambridge University Press, 1999:

---R. Schweik, The Influence of Religion, Science, and Philosophy in Hardyøs Writings, pp. 54-72

---L. M. Shires, The Radical Aesthetic of Tess of the DøUrbervilles, pp. 145-163

--- N. Page, Art and Aesthetics, pp. 38-53

McGrath, F. C., *The Sensible Spirit*, Tampa, University of Southern Florida Press, 1986

Pinion, F. B., Hardy the Writer, Basingstoke, Macmillan, 1990

Radford, A., Thomas Hardy and the Survivals of Time, Aldershot. Ashgate, 2003

Shine, H., ed., *Booker Memorial Studies*, Chapel Hill, University of North Carolina Press, 1950:

--- W. Irvine, Carlyle and T. H. Huxley, pp. 104-121

Stange, G.R., *Matthew Arnold. The Poet as Humanist*, Princeton, Princeton University Press, 1967

Taylor, R. H., The Neglected Hardy, Basingstoke, Macmillan, 1982

Villari, E., La fatale ostilità tra carne e spirito. Paganesimo, Cristianesimo e Tragico Moderno in -Tess of the DøUrbervillesø and -Jude the Obscureø, in P. Tortonese ed., Il Paganesimo nella letteratura delløOttocento, Roma, Bulzoni, 2009, pp. 205-28

--- Il Vizio Moderno delløIrrequietezza, Saggio sui romanzi di Thomas Hardy, Bari, Adriatica, 1990

Williams, R., Culture and Society 1780-1950 (1958), London, Penguin, 1971

#### **B)** Essays

Beaumont, M., Introduction, in W. Pater, The Renaissance, Studies in Art and Poetry, (1873), Oxford, Oxford University Press, 2010, pp. vii-xxix

Bonaparte, F., *The Deadly Misreading of Mythic Texts: Thomas Hardy's õTess of the d'Urbervillesö*, õInternational Journal of the Classical Traditionö, Vol. 5, No. 3, (Winter, 1999), pp. 415-431

Bonica, C., Nature and Paganism in Hardyøs Tess of the DøUrbervilles, õELHö, Vol. 49, No. 4 (Winter, 1982), pp. 849-862

Carroll, J., Arnold, Newman, and Cultural Salvation, õVictorian Poetryö, Vol. 26, No. 1/2, Centennial of Matthew Arnold: 1822-1888, (Spring ó Summer, 1988), pp. 163-178

DeLaura, D. J., *The Ache of Modernism in Hardyøs Later Novels*, õELHö, vol. 34, No. 3 (September, 1967), pp. 380-399

--- Arnold and Carlyle, õPMLAö, Vol. 79, No. 1, (March, 1964), pp. 104-129

Hazen, J., Angel's Hellenism in "Tess of the D'Urbervilles", õCollege Literatureö, Vol. 4, No. 2, (Spring, 1977), pp. 129-135

Hurst, I., Victorian Literature and the Reception of Greece and Rome, õLiterature Compassö Vol. 7 No. 6 (2010), pp. 484 - 495

Hassett, M. E., *Compromised Romanticism in Jude the Obscure*, õNineteenth-Century Fictionö, Vol. 25, No. 4 (March, 1971), pp. 432-443

Higonnet, M. R., Introduction, in Tess of the DøUrbervilles, (1891) ed. T. Dolin, London, Penguin, 1998, pp. xix-xli

Holland Jr., N., Jude the Obscure: Hardyøs Symbolic Indictment of Christianity, õNineteenth-Century Fictionö, Vol. 9, No. 1 (June, 1954), pp. 50-60

Louis, M. K., Gods and Mysteries: The Revival of Paganism and the Remaking of Mythography through the Nineteenth Century, õVictorian Studiesö, Vol. 47, No. 3, (Spring, 2005), pp. 329-361

La Vergata, A., *Introduzione*, in T.H. Huxley, *Evoluzione ed Etica*, ed. A. La Vergata, Torino, Bollati Boringheri, 1995, pp. ix - lxiii

Paris, B. J., õA Confusion of Many Standardsö: Conflicting Value Systems in Tess of the d'Urbervilles, õNineteenth-Century Fictionö, Vol. 24, No. 1 (June, 1969), pp. 57-79

Roellinger, Jr. F. X., *Mill on Education*, õThe Journal of General Educationö, Vol. 6, No. 4, (July, 1952), pp. 246-259

Schwartz, B. N., *Jude the Obscure in the Age of Anxiety*, õStudies in English Literature, 1500-1900ö, Vol. 10, No. 4, (Autumn, 1970), pp. 793- 804

Schweik, R. C., *Moral Perspective in Tess of the D'Urbervilles*, õCollege Englishö, Vol. 24, No. 1 (October, 1962), pp. 14-18

Taylor, D., Introduction to Jude the Obscure, (1895), ed. D. Taylor, London, Penguin, 1998, pp. xvi-xxxiii

Thomas, J., *Icons of Desire: The classical Statue in Later Victorian Literature*, õThe Yearbook of English Studiesö, Vol. 40, No. 1/2, (2010), pp. 246-272

--- Introduction to The Well-Beloved, (1897), London, Wordsworth Classics, 2000, pp. ix-xxvii

Turner, F.M., Victorian Scientific Naturalism and Thomas Carlyle, õVictorian Studiesö, Vol. 18, No. 3, (March, 1975), pp. 325-343

# Web Sources:

Mill, J. S., *On Liberty*, e-text: http://etext.virginia.edu/etcbin/toccernew2?id=MilLib2.xml&images=images/modeng&data=/texts/english/modeng/parse d&tag=public&part=1&division=div1